

Calendar No. 169

107TH CONGRESS }
1st Session }

SENATE

{ REPORT
107-68

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATION BILL, 2002

SEPTEMBER 25, 2001.—Ordered to be printed

Mrs. FEINSTEIN, from the Committee on Appropriations,
submitted the following

REPORT

[To accompany S. 1460]

The Committee on Appropriations reports the bill (S. 1460) making appropriations for military construction, family housing, and base realignment and closure for the Department of Defense for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2002, and for other purposes, reports favorably thereon and recommends that the bill do pass.

| | |
|--|------------------|
| Total of bill as reported to Senate | \$10,500,000,000 |
| Amount of 2002 budget estimate | 9,971,312,000 |
| Amount of 2001 appropriations | 8,936,498,000 |
| The bill as reported to the Senate: | |
| Over the budget estimate, 2002 | 528,688,000 |
| Over appropriations for fiscal year 2001 | 1,563,502,000 |

CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------|
| Background: | |
| Purpose of the bill | 3 |
| Comparative statement | 3 |
| Compliance with section 308 of the Budget Control Act | 9 |
| Committee recommendation | 9 |
| Items of special interest | 10 |
| Military construction, Army | 16 |
| Military construction, Navy | 17 |
| Military construction, Air Force | 18 |
| Military construction, Defense-wide | 19 |
| Military construction, Reserve components | 24 |
| NATO Security Investment Program | 27 |
| Family housing overview | 27 |
| Family housing, Army | 27 |
| Family housing, Navy and Marine Corps | 28 |
| Family housing, Air Force | 30 |
| Family housing, Defense-wide | 31 |
| Family housing improvement fund | 31 |
| Homeowners assistance fund, Defense | 31 |
| Base realignment and closure account, part IV | 32 |
| General provisions | 35 |
| Compliance with paragraph 7, rule XVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate ... | 36 |
| Compliance with paragraph 12, rule XXVI of the Standing Rules of the Senate | 36 |
| Project listing by location | 37 |

BACKGROUND

PURPOSE OF THE BILL

The Military Construction appropriation bill provides necessary funding for the planning, design, construction, alteration, and improvement of military facilities worldwide, both for Active and Reserve Forces. It also finances the construction, alteration, improvement, operation, and maintenance of military family housing, including payments against past housing mortgage indebtedness. Certain types of community impact assistance may be provided, as well as assistance to members of the military who face loss on the sale of private residences due to installation realignments and closures. The bill is also the source for the U.S. share of the NATO Security Investment Program. In addition, the bill provides funding to implement base closures and realignments authorized by law.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT

The Committee recommends appropriations totaling \$10,500,000,000 for fiscal year 2002 military construction, family housing, and base closure. The following table displays the Committee recommendation in comparison with the current fiscal year, and the President's fiscal year 2002 request.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY

[In thousands of dollars]

| Item | 2001 enacted | Budget estimate | Committee recommendation | Increase (+) or decrease (–) compared with— | |
|---|--------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---|-----------------|
| | | | | 2001 enacted | Budget estimate |
| Military construction, Army | 907,878 | 1,760,541 | 1,668,957 | + 761,079 | – 91,584 |
| Foreign currency fluctuation adjustment | – 635 | | | + 635 | |
| Rescission | | | – 26,400 | – 26,400 | – 26,400 |
| Miscellaneous appropriations (Public Law 106–554) | 26,941 | | | – 26,941 | |
| Supplemental appropriations (Public Law 107–20) | 9,144 | | | – 9,144 | |
| Total | 943,328 | 1,760,541 | 1,642,557 | + 699,229 | – 117,984 |
| Military construction, Navy | 929,113 | 1,071,408 | 1,148,633 | + 219,520 | + 77,225 |
| Foreign currency fluctuation adjustment | – 2,889 | | – 19,588 | – 16,699 | – 19,588 |
| Rescission | | | | | |
| Supplemental appropriations (Public Law 107–20) | 3,187 | | | – 3,187 | |
| Total | 929,411 | 1,071,408 | 1,129,045 | + 199,634 | + 57,637 |
| Military construction, Air Force | 868,294 | 1,068,250 | 1,148,269 | + 279,975 | + 80,019 |
| Rescission | | | – 4,000 | – 4,000 | – 4,000 |
| Miscellaneous appropriations (Public Law 106–554) | 11,974 | | | – 11,974 | |
| Supplemental appropriations (Public Law 107–20) | 5,065 | | | – 5,065 | |
| Total | 885,333 | 1,068,250 | 1,144,269 | + 258,936 | + 76,019 |
| Military construction, Defense-wide | 819,954 | 694,558 | 881,058 | + 61,104 | + 186,500 |
| Foreign currency fluctuation adjustment | – 7,115 | | | + 7,115 | |
| Rescissions | | | – 69,280 | – 69,280 | – 69,280 |
| Supplemental appropriations (Public Law 107–20) | – 14,376 | | | + 14,376 | |
| Total | 798,463 | 694,558 | 811,778 | + 13,315 | + 117,220 |
| Total, Active components | 3,556,535 | 4,594,757 | 4,727,649 | + 1,171,114 | + 132,892 |
| Military construction, Army National Guard | 281,097 | 267,389 | 378,549 | + 97,452 | + 111,160 |
| Miscellaneous appropriations (Public Law 106–554) | 4,490 | | | – 4,490 | |
| Total | 285,587 | 267,389 | 378,549 | + 92,962 | + 111,160 |

| | | | | | |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|
| Military construction, Air National Guard | 203,381 | 149,072 | 222,767 | + 19,386 | + 73,695 |
| Supplemental appropriations (Public Law 107–20) | 6,700 | | | – 6,700 | |
| Total | 210,081 | 149,072 | 222,767 | + 12,686 | + 73,695 |
| Military construction, Army Reserve | 108,499 | 111,404 | 111,404 | + 2,905 | |
| Military construction, Naval Reserve | 64,331 | 33,641 | 33,641 | – 30,690 | |
| Rescission | – 2,400 | | – 925 | + 1,475 | – 925 |
| Total | 61,931 | 33,641 | 32,716 | – 29,215 | – 925 |
| Military construction, Air Force Reserve | 36,510 | 53,732 | 53,732 | + 17,222 | |
| Total, Reserve components | 702,608 | 615,238 | 799,168 | + 96,560 | + 183,930 |
| Total, Military construction | 4,259,143 | 5,209,995 | 5,526,817 | + 1,267,674 | + 316,822 |
| Appropriations | (4,261,543) | (5,209,995) | (5,627,422) | (+ 1,365,879) | (+ 417,427) |
| Rescissions | (– 2,400) | | (– 100,605) | (– 98,205) | (– 100,605) |
| NATO Security Investment Program | 171,622 | 162,600 | 162,600 | – 9,022 | |
| Family housing, Army: | | | | | |
| New construction | 165,459 | 59,200 | 80,400 | – 85,059 | + 21,200 |
| Construction improvements | 63,450 | 220,750 | 220,750 | + 157,300 | |
| Planning and design | 6,528 | 11,592 | 11,592 | + 5,064 | |
| Subtotal, construction | 235,437 | 291,542 | 312,742 | + 77,305 | + 21,200 |
| Operation and maintenance | 969,566 | 1,108,991 | 1,108,991 | + 139,425 | |
| Foreign currency fluctuation adjustment | – 19,911 | | | + 19,911 | |
| Subtotal, operation and maintenance | 949,655 | 1,108,991 | 1,108,991 | + 159,336 | |
| Supplemental appropriations (Public Law 107–20) | 26,480 | | | – 26,480 | |
| Total, Family housing, Army | 1,211,572 | 1,400,533 | 1,421,733 | + 210,161 | + 21,200 |
| Family housing, Navy and Marine Corps: | | | | | |
| New construction | 204,669 | 114,847 | 123,047 | – 81,622 | + 8,200 |
| Construction improvements | 192,652 | 183,054 | 183,054 | – 9,598 | |
| Planning and design | 19,914 | 6,499 | 6,499 | – 13,415 | |
| Subtotal, construction | 417,235 | 304,400 | 312,600 | – 104,635 | + 8,200 |

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

| Item | 2001 enacted | Budget estimate | Committee recommendation | Increase (+) or decrease (–) compared with— | |
|---|--------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---|-----------------|
| | | | | 2001 enacted | Budget estimate |
| Operation and maintenance | 880,696 | 918,095 | 918,095 | + 37,399 | |
| Foreign currency fluctuation adjustment | – 1,071 | | | + 1,071 | |
| Subtotal, operation and maintenance | 879,625 | 918,095 | 918,095 | + 38,470 | |
| Supplemental appropriations (Public Law 107–20) | 20,300 | | | – 20,300 | |
| Total, Family housing, Navy and Marine Corps | 1,317,160 | 1,222,495 | 1,230,695 | – 86,465 | + 8,200 |
| Family housing, Air Force: | | | | | |
| New construction | 71,857 | 140,800 | 150,800 | + 78,943 | + 10,000 |
| Construction improvements | 173,663 | 352,879 | 375,345 | + 201,682 | + 22,466 |
| Planning and design | 12,732 | 24,558 | 24,558 | + 11,826 | |
| Foreign currency fluctuation adjustment | – 6,839 | | | + 6,839 | |
| Subtotal, construction | 251,413 | 518,237 | 550,703 | + 299,290 | + 32,466 |
| Operation and maintenance | 824,453 | 869,121 | 869,121 | + 44,668 | |
| Foreign currency fluctuation adjustment | – 5,392 | | | + 5,392 | |
| Subtotal, operation and maintenance | 819,061 | 869,121 | 869,121 | + 50,060 | |
| Supplemental appropriations (Public Law 107–20) | 13,625 | | | – 13,625 | |
| Total, Family housing, Air Force | 1,084,099 | 1,387,358 | 1,419,824 | + 335,725 | + 32,466 |
| Family housing, Defense-wide: | | | | | |
| Construction improvements | | 250 | 250 | + 250 | |
| Operation and maintenance | 44,787 | 43,762 | 43,762 | – 1,025 | |
| Total, Family housing, Defense-wide | 44,787 | 44,012 | 44,012 | – 775 | |
| Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund | | 2,000 | 2,000 | + 2,000 | |
| Homeowners assistance fund, Defense | | 10,119 | 10,119 | + 10,119 | |
| (By transfer) | | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|---|-------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|
| Total, Family housing | 3,657,618 | 4,066,517 | 4,128,383 | + 470,765 | + 61,866 |
| New construction | (441,985) | (314,847) | (354,247) | (– 87,738) | (+ 39,400) |
| Construction improvements | (429,765) | (756,933) | (779,399) | (+ 349,634) | (+ 22,466) |
| Foreign currency fluctuation adjustment | (– 6,839) | | | (+ 6,839) | |
| Planning and design | (39,174) | (42,649) | (42,649) | (+ 3,475) | |
| General reduction | | | | | |
| Operation and maintenance | (2,779,907) | (2,939,969) | (2,939,969) | (+ 160,062) | |
| Foreign currency fluctuation adjustment | (– 26,374) | | | (+ 26,374) | |
| Family Housing Improvement Fund | | (2,000) | (2,000) | (+ 2,000) | |
| Homeowners Assistance Fund | | (10,119) | (10,119) | (+ 10,119) | |
| (By transfer) | | | | | |
| <hr/> | | | | | |
| Base realignment and closure accounts: | | | | | |
| Part IV | 1,022,115 | 532,200 | 682,200 | – 339,915 | + 150,000 |
| Supplemental appropriations (Public Law 107–20) | 9,000 | | | – 9,000 | |
| Total | 1,031,115 | 532,200 | 682,200 | – 348,915 | + 150,000 |
| <hr/> | | | | | |
| GENERAL PROVISIONS | | | | | |
| General provision (sec. 129) | – 100,000 | | | + 100,000 | |
| Foreign currency account (sec. 132) | – 83,000 | | | + 83,000 | |
| <hr/> | | | | | |
| Grand total: | | | | | |
| New budget (obligational) authority | 8,936,498 | 9,971,312 | 10,500,000 | + 1,563,502 | + 528,688 |
| Appropriations | (8,938,898) | (9,971,312) | (10,600,605) | (+ 1,661,707) | (+ 629,293) |
| Rescissions | (– 2,400) | | (– 100,605) | (– 98,205) | (– 100,605) |
| (By transfer) | | | | | |
| <hr/> | | | | | |
| SUMMARY OF APPROPRIATIONS ACCOUNTS | | | | | |
| Military Construction, Army | 943,328 | 1,760,541 | 1,642,557 | + 699,229 | – 117,984 |
| Military Construction, Navy | 929,411 | 1,071,408 | 1,129,045 | + 199,634 | + 57,637 |
| Military Construction, Air Force | 885,333 | 1,068,250 | 1,144,269 | + 258,936 | + 76,019 |
| Military Construction, Defense-wide | 798,463 | 694,558 | 811,778 | + 13,315 | + 117,220 |
| Total, Active components | 3,556,535 | 4,594,757 | 4,727,649 | + 1,171,114 | + 132,892 |
| Military Construction, Army National Guard | 285,587 | 267,389 | 378,549 | + 92,962 | + 111,160 |
| Military Construction, Air National Guard | 210,081 | 149,072 | 222,767 | + 12,686 | + 73,695 |
| Military Construction, Army Reserve | 108,499 | 111,404 | 111,404 | + 2,905 | |
| Military Construction, Naval Reserve | 61,931 | 33,641 | 32,716 | – 29,215 | – 925 |

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

| Item | 2001 enacted | Budget estimate | Committee recommendation | Increase (+) or decrease (–) compared with— | |
|--|--------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---|-----------------|
| | | | | 2001 enacted | Budget estimate |
| Military Construction, Air Force Reserve | 36,510 | 53,732 | 53,732 | + 17,222 | |
| Total, Reserve components | 702,608 | 615,238 | 799,168 | + 96,560 | + 183,930 |
| Total, Military Construction | 4,259,143 | 5,209,995 | 5,526,817 | + 1,267,674 | + 316,822 |
| North Atlantic Treaty Organization Security Investment Program | 171,622 | 162,600 | 162,600 | – 9,022 | |
| Family Housing, Army: | | | | | |
| Construction | 235,437 | 291,542 | 312,742 | + 77,305 | + 21,200 |
| Operation and Maintenance | 976,135 | 1,108,991 | 1,108,991 | + 132,856 | |
| Family Housing, Navy and Marine Corps: | | | | | |
| Construction | 417,235 | 304,400 | 312,600 | – 104,635 | + 8,200 |
| Operation and Maintenance | 899,925 | 918,095 | 918,095 | + 18,170 | |
| Family Housing, Air Force: | | | | | |
| Construction | 251,413 | 518,237 | 550,703 | + 299,290 | + 32,466 |
| Operation and Maintenance | 832,686 | 869,121 | 869,121 | + 36,435 | |
| Family Housing, Defense-wide: | | | | | |
| Construction | | 250 | 250 | + 250 | |
| Operation and Maintenance | 44,787 | 43,762 | 43,762 | – 1,025 | |
| Department of Defense Family Housing Improvement Fund | | 2,000 | 2,000 | + 2,000 | |
| Homeowners Assistance Fund, Defense | | 10,119 | 10,119 | + 10,119 | |
| Total, Family Housing | 3,657,618 | 4,066,517 | 4,128,383 | + 470,765 | + 61,866 |
| Base Realignment and Closure Account | 1,031,115 | 532,200 | 682,200 | – 348,915 | + 150,000 |
| General Provisions | – 183,000 | | | + 183,000 | |
| Grand Total | 8,936,498 | 9,971,312 | 10,500,000 | + 1,563,502 | + 528,688 |

∞

COMPLIANCE WITH SECTION 308 OF THE BUDGET CONTROL ACT

Section 308(a) of the Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-344) requires that the Committee include in its report a comparison of its recommendations with levels contained in the first concurrent resolution. Appropriate data are reflected below:

BUDGETARY IMPACT OF BILL

PREPARED IN CONSULTATION WITH THE CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET OFFICE PURSUANT TO SEC.
308(a), PUBLIC LAW 93-344, AS AMENDED

[In millions of dollars]

| | Budget authority | | Outlays | |
|--|----------------------|----------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| | Committee allocation | Amount of bill | Committee allocation | Amount of bill |
| Comparison of amounts in the bill with Committee allocations to its subcommittees of amounts in the First Concurrent Resolution for 2002: Subcommittee on Military Construction: | | | | |
| General purpose, defense | 10,500 | 10,500 | NA | NA |
| General purpose, non-defense | | | NA | NA |
| General purpose, total | 10,500 | 10,500 | 9,284 | ¹ 9,253 |
| Projections of outlays associated with the recommendation: | | | | |
| 2002 | | | | ² 2,741 |
| 2003 | | | | 4,026 |
| 2004 | | | | 2,310 |
| 2005 | | | | 794 |
| 2006 and future years | | | | 598 |
| Financial assistance to State and local governments for 2002 | NA | | NA | |

¹ Includes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

² Excludes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

NA: Not applicable.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

The Committee recommends new fiscal year 2002 appropriations of \$10,500,000,000. This is \$528,688,000 over the budget request, and \$1,563,502,000 over the appropriations for fiscal year 2001. The basis for this recommendation is contained in the following "Items of special interest," and under the discussions pertaining to each individual appropriation. Complete project detail is provided in the tables at the end of the report.

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

COMPLIANCE WITH 302(b) ALLOCATION

The Appropriations Committee conformed fully to the budget resolution for defense spending in its 302(b) allocation. This allocation divided the budget authority and outlays among the subcommittees with jurisdiction over discretionary spending. In this recommended bill, the Appropriations Committee has remained within the tight constraints of its 302(b) allocation for military construction.

HEARINGS

The Subcommittee on Military Construction held hearings on the fiscal year 2002 budget request during July and August 2001. The subcommittee heard testimony from representatives of the military services and defense agencies concerning fiscal year 2002 budget priorities and base realignment and closure issues.

SUMMARY OF COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

The budget request for fiscal year 2002 reflects an increase of \$1,034,814,000 from the amount enacted in fiscal year 2001.

The Committee recommends an additional \$528,688,000 above the fiscal year 2002 budget request. The total recommended appropriation for fiscal year 2002 is \$10,500,000,000, an increase of \$1,563,502,000 from fiscal year 2001 funding.

GENERAL AND FLAG OFFICER QUARTERS

The Committee is concerned about the escalating costs of improving and maintaining general and flag officer quarters. The Committee recognizes that many of these quarters are historic and as such, require maintenance, preservation, rehabilitation, and restoration beyond the normal Department of Defense standards. These preservation activities contribute significantly to the annual cost of maintaining these quarters.

The Committee urges the Department to look for innovative ways to reduce these expenses while ensuring that projects are consistent with DOD standards and not excessive. While the Department of Defense should preserve these quarters as a historical legacy, the military services should also explore more cost effective ways of rehabilitating and restoring historic family housing, such as the use of synthetic building materials where appropriate.

The Committee has again included section 125 which requires that the Military Construction Appropriations bill be the sole source of all operation and maintenance for all flag and general officer quarters. Based on the escalating costs of routine repair and maintenance activities, the Committee recommends that the annual limitation of expenditures for repairs on these quarters be raised from \$25,000 to \$35,000 per year.

OVERSEAS MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

The Committee notes the significant increase in military construction funding allocated for overseas bases in the fiscal year 2002 budget request. In all, the proposed fiscal year 2002 overseas spending is 14.4 percent of overall specified project spending versus only 9.9 percent of total spending in fiscal year 2001. The Committee remains concerned about the adequacy of facilities, particularly housing, community, and environmental systems, at U.S. installations overseas. However, the Committee is also concerned that some senior U.S. commanders, in their efforts to improve inadequate facilities, may be advocating major construction and environmental programs that may lead to large funding commitments ahead of policy decisions by the Secretary of Defense.

The Committee is aware that the Department of Defense is in the midst of a comprehensive review of our overseas installations to ensure that our presence is consistent with the Quadrennial Defense Review (QDR) and our long-term basing strategy. The Committee directs the Department to submit a report on the Department's overseas basing master plan to the congressional committees not later than April 1, 2002. The master plan must identify those enduring installations required to support our national military objectives as well as provide a roadmap for future stationing decisions. This report must take into account the issue of military training and the potential for restrictions on proposed training areas, including encroachment and environmental constraints and concerns. The Committee's goal is to ensure that scarce resources are appropriately allocated in support of our national security objectives and consistent with the Department of Defense's long range policies and plans.

CONFORMANCE WITH AUTHORIZATION BILL

The Committee strongly supports the authorization-appropriation process. However, the Committee has reported the appropriation bill prior to completion of the authorization process. Therefore, the Committee has provided construction funds for specific projects which were included in the Senate-reported version of the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2002 and projects subject to authorization.

CONTINGENCY FUNDING

The Committee commends the Department and the military services for including contingency funding in the fiscal year 2002 budget request for military construction and family housing projects. Adequate contingency funding is essential for the efficient and cost-effective execution of the overall construction program throughout the Department of Defense. The Committee strongly urges the Department to include responsible contingency funding in the fiscal year 2003 budget submission.

REPROGRAMMING RULES/CRITERIA

The following rules apply for all military construction and family housing reprogrammings. A project or account (including the sub-elements of an account) which has been specifically reduced by the

Congress in acting on the appropriation request is considered to be a congressional interest item. A prior approval reprogramming is required for any increase to an item that has specifically reduced by the Congress. Accordingly, no below threshold reprogrammings to an item specifically reduced by the Congress are permitted.

The reprogramming criteria that apply to military construction projects (25 percent of the funded amount or \$2,000,000, whichever is less) continue to apply to new housing construction projects and to improvements over \$2,000,000. To provide the individual services the flexibility to proceed with construction contracts without disruption or delay, the costs associated with environmental hazard remediation such as asbestos removal, radon abatement, lead-based paint removal or abatement, and any other legislated environmental hazard remediation may be excluded, provided that such remediation requirements could not be reasonably anticipated at the time of budget submission. This exclusion applies to projects authorized in the budget year, and also projects authorized in prior years for which construction contracts have not been completed.

Furthermore, in instances where a prior approval reprogramming request for a project or account has been approved by the Committee, the amount approved becomes the new base for any future increase or decrease via below threshold reprogrammings (provided that the project or account is not a congressional interest item).

TRANSFER AUTHORITY

The budget request proposed a general provision which would allow the transfer of up to \$67,000,000 between any accounts in the bill, and this could be accomplished at the determination of the Secretary of Defense and upon the approval of OMB. Congress would be given an “after the fact” notification. The Committee believes that the existing reprogramming procedures are sufficient in solving urgent, high priority funding problems within available resources and denies this request.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE

The Committee has included \$682,200,000 for the “Base realignment and closure” account, an increase of \$150,000,000 over the budget request. This additional funding is needed to address urgent, unfunded environmental remediation requirements at bases that have been closed or realigned.

BARRACKS CONSTRUCTION

The fiscal year 2002 budget request includes \$1,218,435,000 to construct or modernize 60 barracks projects.

The Committee recommends \$1,246,373,000 for barracks construction projects in fiscal year 2002, an increase of \$27,938,000 over the budget request. This additional funding is provided to help reduce the unaccompanied housing deficit and modernize existing barracks.

BARRACKS CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

| Location | Request | Recommended |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Army: | | |
| Alaska: Fort Richardson Barracks Complex (144 spaces) | \$45,000,000 | \$45,000,000 |
| Colorado: Fort Carson Barracks Complex (336 spaces) | 25,000,000 | 25,000,000 |
| Hawaii: | | |
| Schofield Barracks Barracks Complex (400 spaces) | 23,000,000 | 23,000,000 |
| Wheeler Trng Range Barracks Complex (192 spaces) | 50,000,000 | 50,000,000 |
| Kentucky: Fort Campbell Barracks Complex (104 spaces) | 47,000,000 | 47,000,000 |
| Michigan: Augusta/Battle Creek TASS Barracks (336 spaces) | | 13,318,000 |
| Missouri: Fort Leonard Wood Basic Training Complex (1,200 spaces) | 27,000,000 | 27,000,000 |
| New Jersey: Fort Monmouth Barracks Complex (250 spaces) | 20,000,000 | 20,000,000 |
| North Carolina: | | |
| Fort Bragg Basic Training Complex (352 spaces) | 49,000,000 | 49,000,000 |
| Fort Bragg Basic Training Complex (228 spaces) | 27,000,000 | 27,000,000 |
| Fort Bragg Basic Training Complex (330 spaces) | 17,500,000 | 17,500,000 |
| South Carolina: Fort Jackson Basic Training Complex (1,200 spaces) | 26,000,000 | 26,000,000 |
| Texas: Fort Hood Barracks Complex (480 spaces) | 41,000,000 | 41,000,000 |
| Washington: Fort Lewis Barracks Complex (300 spaces) | 48,000,000 | 48,000,000 |
| Germany: | | |
| Bamberg Barracks Complex (282 spaces) | 20,000,000 | 20,000,000 |
| Darmstadt Barracks Complex (82 spaces) | 6,800,000 | 6,800,000 |
| Darmstadt Barracks Complex (82 spaces) | 6,700,000 | 6,700,000 |
| Heidelberg Barracks Complex (89 spaces) | 6,800,000 | 6,800,000 |
| Heidelberg Barracks Complex (132 spaces) | 8,500,000 | 8,500,000 |
| Hanau Barracks Complex (105 spaces) | 7,200,000 | 7,200,000 |
| Korea: | | |
| Camp Hovey Barracks Complex (400 spaces) | 33,000,000 | 33,000,000 |
| Camp Humphreys Barracks Complex (232 spaces) | 14,500,000 | 14,500,000 |
| Camp Stanley Barracks Complex (464 spaces) | 28,000,000 | 28,000,000 |
| Subtotal, Army | 577,000,000 | 590,318,000 |
| Navy & Marine Corps: | | |
| California: | | |
| Camp Pendleton Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (400 spaces) | 21,200,000 | 21,200,000 |
| Camp Pendleton Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (400 spaces) | 21,600,000 | 21,600,000 |
| El Centro Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (320 spaces) | 23,520,000 | 23,520,000 |
| NAS Lemoore Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (104 spaces) | 10,010,000 | 10,010,000 |
| NC San Diego Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (516 spaces) | 47,240,000 | 47,240,000 |
| Twentynine Palms Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (384 spaces) | 29,675,000 | 29,675,000 |
| Washington, DC: Anacostia Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (120 spaces) | 9,810,000 | 9,810,000 |
| Florida: Mayport Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (260 spaces) | 16,420,000 | 16,420,000 |
| Hawaii: | | |
| Kaneohe Bay Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (300 spaces) | 24,920,000 | 24,920,000 |
| NS Pearl Harbor Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (112 spaces) | 17,300,000 | 17,300,000 |
| NS Pearl Harbor Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (312 spaces) | 23,300,000 | 23,300,000 |
| Illinois: | | |
| Great Lakes Recruit Barracks (1,056 spaces) | 41,130,000 | 41,130,000 |
| Great Lakes Recruit Barracks (1,056 spaces) | 41,130,000 | 41,130,000 |
| Maine: | | |
| Kittery-Portsmouth Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (172 spaces) | | 14,620,000 |
| Brunswick Transient Student Quarters (500 spaces) | 22,630,000 | 22,630,000 |
| Mississippi: Gulfport Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (180 spaces) | 14,300,000 | 14,300,000 |
| Missouri: Kansas City Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (196 spaces) | 9,010,000 | 9,010,000 |
| North Carolina: | | |
| Camp Lejeune Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (400 spaces) | 16,530,000 | 16,530,000 |
| Camp Lejeune Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (320 spaces) | 13,550,000 | 13,550,000 |

BARRACKS CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS—Continued

| Location | Request | Recommended |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| Virginia: | | |
| NS Norfolk BEQ Modernization (380 spaces) | 14,730,000 | 14,730,000 |
| Quantico Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (200 spaces) | 9,390,000 | 9,390,000 |
| Greece: Larissa Bachelor Enlisted Quarters (152 spaces) | 12,240,000 | 12,240,000 |
| Guam: Guam BEQ Modernization (64 spaces) | 9,300,000 | 9,300,000 |
| Subtotal, Navy & Marine Corps | 448,935,000 | 463,555,000 |
| Air Force: | | |
| Alabama: | | |
| Maxwell AFB Officer Training School Dormitory (120 spaces) | 11,800,000 | 11,800,000 |
| Maxwell AFB Squadron Officer School Dormitory (162 spaces) | 13,600,000 | 13,600,000 |
| Alaska: Elmendorf AFB Dormitory (180 spaces) | 20,000,000 | 20,000,000 |
| Arizona: Davis-Monthan AFB Dormitory (120 spaces) | 8,700,000 | 8,700,000 |
| Colorado: Buckley AFB Dormitory (144 spaces) | 11,200,000 | 11,200,000 |
| Oklahoma: Tinker AFB Dormitory (144 spaces) | 10,200,000 | 10,200,000 |
| Texas: | | |
| Lackland AFB Dormitory (96 spaces) | 8,600,000 | 8,600,000 |
| Sheppard AFB Student Dormitory (140 spaces) | 16,000,000 | 16,000,000 |
| Sheppard AFB Student Dormitory (160 spaces) | 21,000,000 | 21,000,000 |
| Virginia: Langley AFB Dormitory (96 spaces) | 8,300,000 | 8,300,000 |
| Germany: Ramstein AFB Dormitory (120 spaces) | 11,000,000 | 11,000,000 |
| Italy: Aviano AFB Dormitory (102 spaces) | 8,200,000 | 8,200,000 |
| Korea: | | |
| Osan AFB Dormitory (156 spaces) | 14,400,000 | 14,400,000 |
| Osan AFB Dormitory (156 spaces) | 15,800,000 | 15,800,000 |
| Osan AFB Officer Dormitory (69 spaces) | 9,700,000 | 9,700,000 |
| Turkey: Eskisehir Dormitory (32 spaces) | 4,000,000 | 4,000,000 |
| Subtotal, Air Force | 192,500,000 | 192,500,000 |

REAL PROPERTY MAINTENANCE REPORTING REQUIREMENT

The Committee recommends a continuation of the following general rules for repairing a facility under Operations and Maintenance account funding:

Components of the facility may be repaired by replacement, and such replacement can be up to current standards or code.

Interior arrangements and restorations may be included as repair, but additions, new facilities, and functional conversions must be performed as military construction projects.

Such projects may be done concurrent with repair projects, as long as the final conjunctively funded project is a complete and usable facility.

The appropriate Service Secretary shall submit a 21-day notification prior to carrying out any repair project with an estimated cost in excess of \$7,500,000.

The Department is directed to continue to provide the real property maintenance backlog at all installations for which there is a requested construction project in future budget requests. This information is to be provided on Form 1390. In addition, for all troop housing requests, the Form 1391 is to continue to show all real property maintenance conducted in the past 2 years and all future requirements for unaccompanied housing at that installation.

CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTERS

The fiscal year 2002 budget request includes \$25,460,000 for 4 child development center projects. The Committee recommends \$33,610,000 for a total of 6 projects.

The following child development center projects are provided for fiscal year 2002:

| Location | Request | Recommended |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| Army: | | |
| Illinois: Rock Island Arsenal | | \$3,500,000 |
| Kansas: Fort Riley | \$6,800,000 | 6,800,000 |
| Maryland: Fort Meade | 5,800,000 | 5,800,000 |
| Germany: Wiesbaden Air Base | 6,800,000 | 6,800,000 |
| Navy: | | |
| South Carolina: Beaufort Naval Base | 6,060,000 | 6,060,000 |
| Air Force: | | |
| Montana: Malmstrom AFB | | 4,650,000 |
| Total | 25,460,000 | 33,610,000 |

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, ARMY

| | |
|---|---------------|
| Appropriations, 2001 | \$943,328,000 |
| Budget estimate, 2002 | 1,760,541,000 |
| Committee recommendation (including rescission) | 1,642,557,000 |

The Committee recommends \$1,642,557,000 for the Army for fiscal year 2002. This is a decrease of \$117,984,000 from the budget request for fiscal year 2002. This also reflects the realignment of the Chemical Demilitarization Program responsibility from the Army. (See State tables at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 2002 as practical:

Installation Desalinization System, Fort Bliss, TX.—Of the \$153,084,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,800,000 be made available for the design of this water treatment system.

Replace Instructional Facility, Bell Hall, Fort Leavenworth, KS.—Of the \$153,084,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army” account, the Committee directs that \$2,500,000 be made available for the design of this instructional facility.

Division Command and Control Facility, Fort Campbell, KY.—Of the \$153,084,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army” account, the Committee directs that \$1,500,000 be made available for the design of this command and control facility.

U.S. Army Heritage and Education Center, Carlisle Barracks, PA.—Of the \$153,084,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army” account, the Committee directs that \$500,000 be made available for the planning and design of the center for restoration and archival of Army documents and audio/visual media.

U.S. Army Museum Support Center, Martinsburg, WV.—Of the \$153,084,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army” account, the Committee directs that \$100,000 be made available to conduct a study on the feasibility and cost to renovate the Martinsburg Roundhouse Center, to accommodate the U.S. Army indoor storage facility for macro military artifacts project.

Physical Fitness Center Addition, Fort Wainwright, AK.—Of the \$21,130,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Army” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$600,000 be made available for the construction of this physical fitness center addition.

Aircraft Armament Engineering Center, Yuma, AZ.—Of the \$21,130,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Army” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,480,000 be made available for the construction of this engineering center.

Launch Complex Quality Assurance Facility, White Sands Missile Range, NM.—Of the \$21,130,000 provided for unspecified minor

construction within the “Army” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,050,000 be made available for the construction of this quality assurance facility.

Anechoic Chamber, White Sands Missile Range, NM.—The Committee recognizes the immediate requirement of the Army Research Laboratory (Survivability, Lethality and Analysis Division, SLAD) at White Sands Missile Range to replace the anechoic chamber destroyed by fire in December 2000. The loss of this facility will significantly impede critical research and development in Army Information Operations. The Committee strongly recommends that the Army expedite replacement of the anechoic chamber at White Sands Missile Range.

Consolidated Logistics Maintenance Complex, Fort Sill, OK.—Of the \$153,084,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army” account, the Committee directs that \$1,300,000 be made available for the design of this logistics maintenance complex.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, NAVY

| | |
|---|---------------|
| Appropriations, 2001 | \$929,411,000 |
| Budget estimate, 2002 | 1,071,408,000 |
| Committee recommendation (including rescission) | 1,129,045,000 |

The Committee recommends \$1,129,045,000 for Navy and Marine Corps military construction for fiscal year 2002. This amount is an increase of \$57,637,000 from the fiscal year 2002 budget request. (See State tables at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 2002 as practical:

Chapel, Kaneohe Bay Marine Corps Base, HI.—Of the \$37,332,000 provided for planning and design within the “Navy” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$510,000 be made available for the design of this chapel.

Renovate Joint Interoperability Test Command, Indian Head, Naval Surface Warfare Center, MD.—Of the \$37,332,000 provided for planning and design within the “Navy” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$300,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Aircraft Prototype Facility, Patuxent River, MD.—Of the \$37,332,000 provided for planning and design within the “Navy” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,450,000 be made available for the design of this prototype facility.

National Security Research Center, Newport Naval War College, RI.—Of the \$37,332,000 provided for planning and design within the “Navy” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,790,000 be made available for the design of this research center.

Electronic Integration and Support Facility, Charleston Naval Weapons Station, SC.—Of the \$37,332,000 provided for planning and design within the “Navy” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$650,000 be made available for the design of this support facility.

Turner Field House, U.S. Naval Academy, MD.—Of the \$37,332,000 provided for planning and design within the “Navy” account, the Committee directs that \$2,700,000 be made available for the design of this facility.

Fitness Center, Albany, Marine Corps Logistics Base, GA.—Of the \$13,321,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Navy” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$900,000 be made available for the construction of this fitness center.

Infrastructure System Upgrade, Corpus Christi Naval Air Station, TX.—Of the \$13,321,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Navy” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$786,000 be made available for the construction of these electrical, air conditioning and water facilities upgrades.

Repair Taxiway, Field Waldron, TX.—Of the \$13,321,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Navy” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$520,000 be made available for the construction repair of this taxiway.

Repair Runway and Perimeter Fence, Cabaniss, TX.—Of the \$13,321,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Navy” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$369,000 be made available for the construction repair of this runway and fence.

Compartmented Intelligence Facility, Joint Readiness Base, Fort Worth, TX.—Of the \$13,321,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Navy” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$200,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, AIR FORCE

| | |
|---|---------------|
| Appropriations, 2001 | \$885,333,000 |
| Budget estimate, 2002 | 1,068,250,000 |
| Committee recommendation (including rescission) | 1,144,269,000 |

The Committee recommends \$1,144,269,000 for the Air Force in fiscal year 2002. This is an increase of \$76,019,000 to the fiscal year 2002 budget request. (See State tables at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 2002 as practical:

Convert Facilities to Avionics Shop, Elmendorf Air Force Base, AK.—Of the \$83,420,000 provided for planning and design within the “Air Force” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$540,000 be made available for the design of this conversion.

Blair Lake Vehicle Maintenance Facility, Eielson Air Force Base, AK.—Of the \$83,420,000 provided for planning and design within the “Air Force” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$300,000 be made available for the design of this vehicle maintenance facility.

Corrosion Paint/De-Paint Facility, Robins Air Force Base, GA.—Of the \$83,420,000 provided for planning and design within the “Air Force” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,250,000 be made available for the design of this corrosion facility.

Fire/Crash Rescue Station, Seymour Johnson Air Force Base, NC.—Of the \$83,420,000 provided for planning and design within the “Air Force” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$490,000 be made available for the design of this fire/crash rescue station.

Ramp Extension, Minot AFB, ND.—Of the \$83,420,000 provided for planning and design within the “Air Force” account, the Committee directs that \$1,710,000 be made available for the planning and design of this ramp extension. The shortage of parking space on the main parking apron at Minot AFB degrades the readiness of the 5th Bomb Wing to meet mission requirements and is a significant force protection deficiency. The Committee urges the Air Force to complete all remaining design work as quickly as possible and to fully fund this project in the President’s fiscal year 2003 budget.

Biomedical Training Facility—Brooks AFB, TX.—Of the \$12,250,000 provided for unspecified minor construction in the “Air Force” account, the Committee directs that \$1,000,000 be made available for the construction of this facility.

Masirah Island Airfield, Oman.—The Committee recommendation includes \$8,000,000 in the “Air Force” major construction account to complete planning and design and construction of the Masirah Island Airfield project in Oman. The Committee recommendation rescinds \$4,000,000 from previously appropriated Air Force funds, and \$4,000,000 from previously appropriated Defense-Wide funds and reappropriates those funds in this Act to complete the Masirah Island project, which was originally authorized and funded in Public Law 107–20.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, DEFENSE-WIDE

| | |
|--|---------------|
| Appropriations, 2001 | \$798,463,000 |
| Budget estimate, 2002 | 694,558,000 |
| Committee recommendation (including rescissions) | 811,778,000 |

The Committee recommends \$811,778,000 for projects considered within the “Defense-wide” account. The amount recommended is an increase of \$117,220,000 from the fiscal year 2002 budget request. This increase reflects the realignment of the Chemical Demilitarization Program responsibility to this appropriation. (See State tables at the end of the report for complete program recommendations.)

PENTAGON RECONSTRUCTION

The Committee fully supports the Department of Defense efforts to rebuild the Pentagon from the recent terrorist attacks on September 11, 2001. The Committee is committed to working with the Department to ensure the necessary resources are available for reconstructing the Pentagon and improving the anti-terrorism and force protection measures of the facility. The Congress recently provided \$40,000,000,000 of emergency spending authority to the administration, of which a portion will be directed towards the Pentagon reconstruction. The Committee believes that the funding to rebuild the destroyed wedge of the Pentagon should be funded through traditional military construction appropriations, specifically “Military Construction, Defense-wide”. The Committee directs the Department to report to the congressional defense committees on the overall status of the reconstruction plan, acquisition strategy, project time line, and cost estimates no later than November 1, 2001.

CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION

The budget request identified a requirement of \$172,500,000 for the construction of the following chemical weapon demilitarization facilities in fiscal year 2002. The Committee recommends a total of \$172,500,000 for this program as requested by the President.

| State/installation/project | Request | Recommended |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| Arkansas: Pine Bluff Arsenal, Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Ph 6 | \$26,000,000 | \$26,000,000 |
| Colorado: Pueblo Depot, Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Ph 3 | 11,000,000 | 11,000,000 |
| Indiana: Newport Army Ammunition Plant, Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Ph 4 | 66,000,000 | 66,000,000 |
| Kentucky: Bluegrass Army Depot, Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Ph 2 | 3,000,000 | 3,000,000 |
| Maryland: Aberdeen Proving Ground, Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Ph 4 | 66,500,000 | 66,500,000 |
| Total | 172,500,000 | 172,500,000 |

The budget request proposes that these amounts should be appropriated under the “Military Construction, Army” account. As in prior years, the Committee recommends that these amounts be appropriated under the “Military Construction, Defense-wide” account, in order to facilitate the tracking of expenses for the Chemical Demilitarization Program, and to avoid distorting the size of the Army’s military construction program.

The Committee believes that the focus on this program must remain at the Office of the Secretary of the Defense rather than at the service level and directs the Department to submit the fiscal year 2003 budget accordingly.

The following chart displays the scope of the military construction investment in the overall chemical demilitarization program:

CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION PROGRAM MILITARY CONSTRUCTION COSTS

[In millions of dollars]

| | 2000 and prior | Fiscal year— | | | | | | Total |
|---------------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| | | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | |
| Tooele | 198.0 | | | | | | | 198.0 |
| Anniston | 181.2 | | | | | | | 181.2 |
| Umatilla Depot | 11.2 | | | | | | | 11.2 |
| Umatilla Facility | 182.2 | 9.4 | | | | | | 191.6 |
| Pine Fixed Facility | | | | 2.0 | 7.7 | 0.8 | | 10.5 |
| Pine Bluff Depot | 10.0 | | | | | | | 10.0 |
| Pine Bluff Facility | 107.8 | 43.6 | 25.0 | | | | | 176.4 |
| Pueblo Depot | 6.3 | | | | | | | 6.3 |
| Pueblo Facility | | 10.7 | 13.0 | 35.3 | 71.0 | 28.8 | | 158.8 |
| Blue Grass Depot | 2.0 | 8.5 | | | | | | 10.5 |
| Blue Grass Facility | | | 3.0 | 10.0 | | 36.0 | 62.0 | 111.0 |
| Aberdeen CTDF | 16.1 | | | | | | | 16.1 |
| Aberdeen Depot | 1.9 | | | | | | | 1.9 |
| Aberdeen Facility | 80.0 | 45.7 | 66.5 | 30.4 | | | | 221.7 |
| Newport Depot | 2.0 | | | | | | | 2.0 |
| Newport Facility | 47.4 | 34.4 | 65.0 | 13.2 | | | | 160.0 |
| MAPS Facility | | 3.1 | | | | | | 3.1 |
| Planning and Design | 114.5 | | 1.0 | | | | | 115.5 |

CHEMICAL DEMILITARIZATION PROGRAM MILITARY CONSTRUCTION COSTS—Continued

[In millions of dollars]

| | 2000 and prior | Fiscal year— | | | | | | Total |
|-------------|----------------------|--------------|-------|------|------|------|------|---------|
| | | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | |
| Total | 960.6 | 155.4 | 172.5 | 90.9 | 78.7 | 65.6 | 62.0 | 1,585.8 |

MEDICAL CONSTRUCTION PROGRAM

The fiscal year 2002 budget request included \$225,126,000 for 22 projects plus planning and design and unspecified minor construction to provide hospital and medical facilities, including treatment, training, and medical research and development facilities. The Committee recommends a total of \$229,126,000 for Department of Defense medical projects.

The following hospital and medical projects are recommended for fiscal year 2002:

| Location/project title | Request | Recommended |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| Alaska: Ft. Wainwright, Hospital Replacement | \$18,500,000 | \$18,500,000 |
| California: | | |
| Camp Pendleton, Fleet Hospital Support Facilities | 3,150,000 | 3,150,000 |
| Camp Pendleton, Medical/Dental Clinic Replacement, Horno, | 4,300,000 | 4,300,000 |
| Camp Pendleton, Medical/Dental Clinic Replacement, Las Flores | 3,800,000 | 3,800,000 |
| Camp Pendleton, Medical/Dental Clinic Replacement, Las Pulgas | 4,050,000 | 4,050,000 |
| Twentynine Palms, LDRP Conversion | 1,600,000 | 1,600,000 |
| Colorado: Shriever Air Force Base, Medical/Dental Clinic | 4,000,000 | 4,000,000 |
| Florida: | | |
| Hurlburt Field, Clinic Addition/Alteration | 8,800,000 | 8,800,000 |
| Mayport Naval Station, Medical/Dental Clinic Replacement | 24,000,000 | 24,000,000 |
| Georgia: | | |
| Albany Marine Corps Logistics Base, Medical/Dental Clinic Replacement | 5,800,000 | 5,800,000 |
| Fort Stewart, Consolidated Troop Medical Clinic | 11,000,000 | 11,000,000 |
| Maryland: | | |
| Andrews Air Force Base, Medical Clinic Addition/Alteration | 7,300,000 | 7,300,000 |
| Andrews Air Force Base, Branch Medical/Dental Clinic Replacement | 2,950,000 | 2,950,000 |
| New Mexico: Holloman Air Force Base, Medical Clinic Alteration | 5,700,000 | 5,700,000 |
| Texas: | | |
| Dyess Air Force Base, Medical Treatment Facility Alteration | 3,300,000 | 3,300,000 |
| Fort Hood, Hospital Addition/Alteration | 12,200,000 | 12,200,000 |
| Virginia: Norfolk, Branch Medical Clinic Addition/Alteration | 21,000,000 | 21,000,000 |
| Washington: Whidbey Island Naval Air Station, Water Survival Facility | 6,600,000 | 6,600,000 |
| Wyoming: F.E. Warren Air Force Base, Medical Clinic Alteration | 2,700,000 | 2,700,000 |
| Portugal: Lajes Field, Dental Clinic Replacement | 3,750,000 | 3,750,000 |
| Germany: Thule Air Force Base, Composite Medical Facility Replacement .. | 28,000,000 | 28,000,000 |
| Greenland: Heidelberg, Hospital Addition/Clinic Alteration | 10,800,000 | 10,800,000 |
| Total | 193,300,000 | 193,300,000 |

Ambulatory Clinic, Goose Creek, SC.—Of the \$30,300,000 provided for planning and design within the “Defense-wide Tricare Management Activity” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$4,000,000 be made available for the design of this clinic.

Armed Forces Institute of Pathology (AFIP).—The Committee is aware of the long standing need for expanded and improved facilities for the Armed Forces Institute of Pathology (AFIP) as reported to Congress in the AFIP Facility Improvement Plan in accordance with direction in the fiscal year 2000 National Defense Authorization Act. However, the Committee is concerned over the projected cost estimates to renovate and expand the existing facility at Walter Reed Army Medical Center. Therefore, the Committee directs the Department of Defense to undertake an assessment of alternate locations in lower cost regions within the Mid-Atlantic area. In conducting its assessment, the Department is instructed to take into account regional facilities that could provide research, medical, DNA analysis, forensic, and biometric support to the Institute.

DEFENSE AGENCIES

Measurement and Signature Intelligence (MASINT) Test, Evaluation, and Acquisition Support Center (MASINT/TEA), Huntsville, AL.—Of the \$16,516,000 provided for planning and design within the “Defense-wide Defense Intelligence Agency” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$4,000,000 be made available for the completion of concept development, cost estimation, and design of this support center.

Measurement and Signature Intelligence (MASINT) Tasking, Processing, Exploitation, and Dissemination (MASINT/TPED) Center, Martinsburg, WV.—Of the \$16,516,000 provided for planning and design within the “Defense-wide Defense Intelligence Agency” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$6,000,000 be made available for the completion of concept development, cost estimation, and design of this tasking, processing, exploitation, and dissemination center.

CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION

The Committee has provided \$10,000,000 for the Secretary of Defense “Contingency construction” account in accordance with the budget request. This account provides funds which may be used by the Secretary of Defense for unforeseen facility requirements. The Committee believes that the funding provided to the account is adequate to meet the needs of the Department.

RESCISSIONS

National Missile Defense.—Division A of Public Law 106–246 provided funding for “National Missile Defense, Initial Deployment Facilities, Phase 1.” The administration has determined that currently planned National Missile Defense construction programs should be funded through the Defense Appropriations research, test, development, and evaluation account instead of through the Military Construction Appropriations bill. Consequently, the \$55,030,000 balance remaining in the National Missile Defense Military Construction account is no longer required for the project for which it was appropriated. The Committee therefore rescinds \$55,030,000 from the “Military Construction, Defense-wide” account.

Aruba-Forward Operating Locations.—Division B of Public Law 106–246 provided funding for a Forward Operating Location at Aruba. This project is no longer required. Therefore, the Committee rescinds \$10,250,000 from the “Military Construction, Defense-wide” account.

ENERGY CONSERVATION AND IMPROVEMENT PROJECT

The Committee recommends the full budget request of \$35,600,000 for the Energy Conservation and Improvement Project (ECIP). Last winter’s energy shortages, coupled with heightened national security concerns over the vulnerability of the existing power delivery system, transmission lines, and fuel supply sources, have underscored the need for the Department to increase its reliance on renewable energy resources, particularly solar, wind, and geothermal, at military installations and Department of Defense family housing complexes. It is the opinion of the Committee that renewable energy resources can help to improve energy reliability, independence, and security through diversification and distributed development, and in so doing, complement conventional energy resources.

To accomplish this goal, the Committee directs the Department to designate an executive agent among the services with field-level renewable energy purchasing experience, to assess the regional potential of renewal energy generation, transmission, and distribution by industry on or near Department of Defense installations in the United States. Because of the Air Force’s scope and level of experience, the Committee recommends that the Air Force be designated the executive agent.

The assessment is expected to encompass installations in each of the services and should include an analysis of the overall cost, benefit, and risk of various renewable energy proposals. The Department is also directed to provide a plan for encouraging the participation of private industry in the development and provision of renewable energy, and address the Department’s market, regulatory, legal, cost and other possible impediments to an increased reliance on renewable resources. A thorough assessment is expected to take a minimum of 12 to 15 months to complete, at an estimated cost of \$10,000,000. Given the critical importance of this assessment, the Committee directs that of the \$35,600,000 in the Energy Conservation Improvement Project fund, \$10,000,000 be allocated to this assessment. The Department is directed to provide the congressional defense committees with an interim report on the scope and method of the assessment no later than April 30, 2002, and a final report no later than April 30, 2003.

JOINT USE FACILITIES

Joint Use Facilities—Joint Future Years’ Defense Plan (FYDP).—The Committee recognizes the efficiencies gained by the construction of joint use—multi-service facilities. However, past initiatives to begin development of such projects have produced limited results. It is the belief of the Committee that the military services, including the reserve components, should be encouraged to develop joint use facilities without the penalty of having the cost of the entire facility added to the FYDP of only one component.

Given that it is within the authority of the Secretary of Defense, through the Office of the Comptroller, to develop a separate future year defense plans account for the application of such joint use projects, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense, through the Office of the Comptroller, to develop such an account, within the existing funding constraints, that would encourage the application for, and construction of, joint-use, multi-service projects throughout the services including the reserve components. The Committee further encourages the Department to submit a budget in fiscal year 2003 with the inclusion of a Joint Future Years' Defense Plan (FYDP).

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense committees on the Department's plan to develop a Joint Future Years' Defense Plan no later than February 15, 2002.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION, RESERVE COMPONENTS

| | |
|--------------------------------|---------------|
| Appropriations, 2001 | \$702,608,000 |
| Budget estimate, 2002 | 615,238,000 |
| Committee recommendation | 799,168,000 |

The Committee recommends \$799,168,000 for military construction projects for the Guard and Reserve components. This amount is \$183,930,000 above the fiscal year 2002 budget request. This increase reflects the Committee's continued strong support for the Guard and Reserve.

The Committee's recommended action on each Reserve component project is reflected in the State list at the end of this report.

The Committee recommends approval of military construction, Reserve component as outlined in the following table:

RESERVE COMPONENT

| Component | Request | Recommended |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Army National Guard | \$267,389,000 | \$378,549,000 |
| Air National Guard | 149,072,000 | 222,767,000 |
| Army Reserve | 111,404,000 | 111,404,000 |
| Naval Reserve (including rescission) | 33,641,000 | 32,716,000 |
| Air Force Reserve | 53,732,000 | 53,732,000 |
| Total | 615,238,000 | 799,168,000 |

Weapons of Mass Destruction—Civil Support Teams.—The Committee notes the continued progress by the Department in establishing the Weapons of Mass Destruction—Civil Support Teams (WMD/CST), and in the administrative and organizational restructuring.

However, the National Guard has continued requirements for additional, adequate facilities from which to train, and to coordinate with other Federal and State agencies, and to store decontamination and reconnaissance equipment. Any outstanding requirements in this area must be fully integrated into the overall resourcing strategy for responding to events addressing weapons of mass destruction and civil support. The Committee has provided the Army National Guard an additional \$9,000,000, in unspecified minor con-

struction funding to directly support the completion of facilities for the next seven WMD/CST locations. The Committee directs the Chief of the National Guard Bureau to report to the congressional defense committees on the distribution of these funds no later than 60 days following the designation of the remaining locations of the 27 initial sites.

The Committee has added funding for specific Reserve component planning and design initiatives. The Committee recommendation also provides additional funding over the budget request for minor construction activities for the Reserve components.

The Committee fully expects contracts for the following projects to be awarded, as early in fiscal year 2002 as practical:

Readiness Center, Warren, AR.—Of the \$34,378,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that \$30,000 be made available for the planning and design of this readiness center.

Reconstruct Leadership Academy, Camp Rowland, CT.—Of the \$34,378,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$520,000 be made available for the design to reconstruct this leadership academy.

Maintenance Shop, Camp Blanding, FL.—Of the \$34,378,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,400,000 be made available for the design of this maintenance shop.

Consolidated Maintenance Facility, Esler/Pineville, LA.—Of the \$34,378,000 provided for planning and design with the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,700,000 be made available for the design of this maintenance facility.

Readiness Center, Kosciusko, MS.—Of the \$34,378,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that \$300,000 be made available for the design of this readiness center.

Integrated Special Operations Training Facility, Camp Dawson, WV.—Of the \$34,378,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that \$2,000,000 be made available for the planning and design of this operations and training facility.

Aviation Classification Repair (AVCRAD), Springfield, MO.—Of the \$34,378,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,620,000 be made available for the design of this aviation repair facility.

Unit Training Equipment Site (UTES), Fort Indiantown Gap, PA.—Of the \$34,378,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,500,000 be made available for the design of this equipment site.

Readiness Center, Watertown, SD.—Of the \$34,378,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$514,000 be made available for the design of this readiness center.

Joint Army National Guard/Air National Guard Readiness Center, Martinsburg, WV.—Of the \$34,378,000 provided for planning and design within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,000,000 be made available for the design of this readiness center.

Security Forces Facility, Eielson Air Force Base, AK.—Of the \$7,342,000 provided for planning and design within the “Air National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,000,000 be made available for the design of this security forces facility.

Airfield Facilities Upgrade, Des Moines, IA.—Of the \$7,342,000 provided for planning and design within the “Air National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$370,000 be made available for the design of this airfield upgrade.

Airfield Facilities Upgrade, Martinsburg Air National Guard Base, WV.—Of the \$7,342,000 provided for planning and design within the “Air National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$2,000,000 be made available for the design of this airfield upgrade.

Scout Armories, AK.—Of the \$22,381,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,487,000 be made available for the construction of these scout armories in Kipnuk, Newtok, and Kake, Alaska.

Entry Way/Guard Facility, Fort Harrison, MT.—Of the \$22,381,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that \$727,000 be made available for the construction of this entry way/guard facility.

Storage Building, Camp Grafton, ND.—Of the \$22,381,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,499,000 be made available for the construction of a storage building.

Joint Use Training Facility, Rickenbacker Army National Guard Base, OH.—Of the \$22,381,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,274,000 be made available for the construction of this training facility.

C-26 Hangar, Rickenbacker Army National Guard Base, OH.—Of the \$22,381,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,365,000 be made available for the construction of this hangar.

Courseware Development Support Facility, Camp Murray, WA.—Of the \$22,381,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,375,000 be made available for the construction of this support facility.

Airfield Facilities Upgrade, Camp Dawson, WV.—Of the \$22,381,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Army National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,000,000 be made available for the construction of these airfield upgrades.

Munitions Storage Facility, McConnell Air Force Base, KS.—Of the \$8,425,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Air National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,500,000 be made available for the construction of this munitions storage facility.

Alter Command Post/Logistics Base, Selfridge Air National Guard Base, MI.—Of the \$8,425,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Air National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$425,000 be made available for this command post addition.

Engineering Storage Facility, Malmstrom Air Force Base, MT.—Of the \$8,425,000 provided for unspecified minor construction within the “Air National Guard” account, the Committee directs that not less than \$1,500,000 be made available for this storage facility.

NORTH ATLANTIC TREATY ORGANIZATION SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM

| | |
|--------------------------------|---------------|
| Appropriations, 2001 | \$171,622,000 |
| Budget estimate, 2002 | 162,600,000 |
| Committee recommendation | 162,600,000 |

The Committee has provided \$162,600,000 for the North Atlantic Treaty Organization [NATO] Security Investment Program for fiscal year 2002, fully funding the budget request.

The Committee continues the requirement that no funds will be used for projects (including planning and design) related to the enlargement of NATO and the Partnership for Peace program, unless Congress is notified 21 days in advance of the obligation of funds. In addition, the Committee’s intent is that section 122 of the General Provisions shall apply to this program.

The Department of Defense is directed to identify separately the level of effort anticipated for NATO enlargement and for Partnership for Peace for that fiscal year in future budget justifications.

FAMILY HOUSING OVERVIEW

The Committee has provided \$4,128,383,000 for family housing construction, operations and maintenance, and the Department’s family housing improvement fund. This amount is \$61,866,000 above the fiscal year 2002 budget request and \$470,765,000 above the amount appropriated in fiscal year 2001.

FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| Appropriations, 2001 | \$1,211,572,000 |
| Budget estimate, 2002 | 1,400,533,000 |
| Committee recommendation | 1,421,733,000 |

The Committee recommends a total of \$1,421,733,000 for family housing, Army, in fiscal year 2002. This is \$21,200,000 over the fiscal year 2002 budget request.

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$80,400,000 for new construction instead of \$59,200,000 as requested, as shown below:

| Location/project | Requested | Recommended |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| Alaska: Fort Wainwright (32 Units) Southern Lights | \$12,000,000 | \$12,000,000 |
| Arizona: Fort Huachuca (72 Units) Pershing Plaza West | 10,800,000 | 10,800,000 |
| Kansas: Fort Leavenworth (80 Units) | 10,000,000 | 20,000,000 |
| Texas: | | |
| Fort Bliss (76 Units) | 13,600,000 | 13,600,000 |
| Fort Sam Houston (80 Units) | | 11,200,000 |
| Korea: Camp Humphreys (54 Units) | 12,800,000 | 12,800,000 |
| Total | 59,200,000 | 80,400,000 |

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the amounts provided for construction improvements:

| Location/project | Requested | Recommended |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| Alabama: Ft. Rucker (28 units) | \$2,400,000 | \$2,400,000 |
| Washington, DC: Walter Reed Army Medical Center (221 units) | 100,000 | 100,000 |
| Georgia: Ft. Stewart/Hunter AAF (2,927 units) Privatization | 37,800,000 | 37,800,000 |
| Kentucky: Ft. Campbell (4,540 units) Privatization | 52,800,000 | 52,800,000 |
| Maryland: | | |
| Aberdeen Proving Ground (10 units) | 1,050,000 | 1,050,000 |
| Ft. Detrick (155 units) Privatization | 1,200,000 | 1,200,000 |
| New Jersey: Picatinny Arsenal (116 units) Privatization | 500,000 | 500,000 |
| New York: | | |
| Ft. Hamilton (436 units) Privatization | 2,200,000 | 2,200,000 |
| West Point (51 units) New Brick | 9,400,000 | 9,400,000 |
| North Carolina: Ft. Bragg (4,744 units) Privatization | 50,000,000 | 50,000,000 |
| Germany: | | |
| Ansbach (128 units) Bleidorn | 14,400,000 | 14,400,000 |
| Baumholder (188 units) | 5,300,000 | 5,300,000 |
| Darmstadt (180 units) | 6,600,000 | 6,600,000 |
| Stuttgart (30 units) Boeblingen | 3,600,000 | 3,600,000 |
| Stuttgart (45 units) Steuben & Weicht Village | 5,200,000 | 5,200,000 |
| Wiesbaden (432 units) | 12,600,000 | 12,600,000 |
| Italy: Vicenza (156 units) | 11,600,000 | 11,600,000 |
| Korea: Yongsan (17 units) | 4,000,000 | 4,000,000 |
| Total | 220,750,000 | 220,750,000 |

FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| Appropriations, 2001 | \$1,317,160,000 |
| Budget estimate, 2002 | 1,222,495,000 |
| Committee recommendation | 1,230,695,000 |

The Committee recommends \$1,230,695,000 for family housing, Navy and Marine Corps, in fiscal year 2002. This amount is \$8,200,000 over the fiscal year 2002 budget request.

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$123,047,000 for new construction instead of \$114,847,000 as requested, as shown below:

| Location/project | Requested | Recommended |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Arizona: MCAS Yuma (51 Units) | \$9,017,000 | \$9,017,000 |

| Location/project | Requested | Recommended |
|---|-------------|-------------|
| California: Twentynine Palms (74 Units) Marine Palms Housing area | 16,250,000 | 16,250,000 |
| Hawaii: | | |
| MCB Kaneohe Bay (172 Units) Housing areas 63 & 64 | 46,996,000 | 55,196,000 |
| CNB Pearl Harbor (70 Units) Hale Moku | 16,827,000 | 16,827,000 |
| Mississippi: NAS Pascagoula (160 Units) | 23,354,000 | 23,354,000 |
| Italy: NAS Sigonella (10 Units) | 2,403,000 | 2,403,000 |
| Total | 114,847,000 | 123,047,000 |

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the amounts provided for construction improvements:

| Location/project | Requested | Recommended |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| California: | | |
| COMNAVBASE San Diego (160 units) | \$16,055,000 | \$16,055,000 |
| Camp Pendleton (40 units) San Onofre | 3,700,000 | 3,700,000 |
| Camp Pendleton (3,200 units MCB Pendleton, 111 units MWTC Brideport, 284 MCB Pendleton) Privatized | 14,737,000 | 14,737,000 |
| Washington, DC: | | |
| Marine Barracks 8th & I (Quarters 2) | 945,000 | 945,000 |
| Marine Barracks 8th & I (Quarters 4) | 949,000 | 949,000 |
| Marine Barracks 8th & I (Quarters 6) | 1,788,000 | 1,788,000 |
| Florida: | | |
| NAS Pensacola (36 units) Cabannis Crescent | 2,557,000 | 2,557,000 |
| NAS Pensacola (14 units) Light House Terrace | 1,267,000 | 1,267,000 |
| NAS Whiting Field (115 units) Whiting Pines | 9,873,000 | 9,873,000 |
| Maryland: | | |
| USNA Annapolis (42 units) North Severn Village | 3,955,000 | 3,955,000 |
| NAS Patuxent River (28 units) Chaffee Court | 1,609,000 | 1,609,000 |
| Mississippi: NAS Meridian (118 units) | 8,696,000 | 8,696,000 |
| North Carolina: | | |
| Marine Corps Base Camp Lejeune (80 units) Watkins Village | 4,569,000 | 4,569,000 |
| Marine Corps Base Camp Lejeune (30 units) Paradise Point | 3,172,000 | 3,172,000 |
| Marine Corps Base Camp Lejeune (1 unit) Quarters 2000 | 70,000 | 70,000 |
| Tennessee: NSA Mid South, Memphis (773 units Privatized) | 12,370,000 | 12,370,000 |
| Virginia: | | |
| COMNAVREG Norfolk (12 units) Pecan Crescent | 582,000 | 582,000 |
| COMNAVREG Norfolk (25 units) Fairway Crescent | 1,600,000 | 1,600,000 |
| ACSC Wallops Island (28 units) Blades Circle | 2,917,000 | 2,917,000 |
| Iceland: | | |
| NAS Keflavik (95 units) SP housing area | 26,074,000 | 26,074,000 |
| NAS Keflavik (65 units) Lower Commissary housing area | 12,681,000 | 12,681,000 |
| Japan: | | |
| CFA Sasebo: Hario Village (44 units) Dragon Vale (8 Units) | 608,000 | 608,000 |
| CNFJ Yokosuka (96 units) | 9,475,000 | 9,475,000 |
| CNFJ Yokosuka (96 units) | 10,398,000 | 10,398,000 |
| CNFJ Yokosuka (78 units) | 7,029,000 | 7,029,000 |
| MCAS Iwakuni (44 units) Midrise 656 | 1,293,000 | 1,293,000 |
| Marianas Island: CNFM Guam (44 units) New Apra Heights | 7,620,000 | 7,620,000 |
| United Kingdom: | | |
| NAVACTS London (70 units) Daws Hill | 7,530,000 | 7,530,000 |
| NAVACTS London (80 units) West Ruislip | 8,935,000 | 8,935,000 |
| Total | 183,054,000 | 183,054,000 |

FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| Appropriations, 2001 | \$1,084,099,000 |
| Budget estimate, 2002 | 1,387,358,000 |
| Committee recommendation | 1,419,824,000 |

The Committee recommends \$1,419,824,000 for family housing, Air Force, in fiscal year 2002, which is \$32,466,000 over the budget request.

CONSTRUCTION

The Committee recommends \$150,800,000 for new construction, instead of \$140,800,000 as requested, as shown below:

| Location/project | Requested | Recommended |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Arizona: Luke AFB (120 Units) | \$15,712,000 | \$15,712,000 |
| California: Travis AFB (118 Units) | 18,150,000 | 18,150,000 |
| Colorado: Buckley AFB (55 Units) | 11,400,000 | 11,400,000 |
| Delaware: Dover AFB (120 Units) | 18,145,000 | 18,145,000 |
| Washington, DC: Bolling AFB (136 Units) Ph 7 | 16,926,000 | 16,926,000 |
| Hawaii: Hickam AFB (102 Units) Ph 1 | 25,037,000 | 25,037,000 |
| Idaho: Mountain Home AFB (56 Units) Ph 4 | | 10,000,000 |
| Louisiana: Barksdale AFB (56 Units) Ph 1 | 7,300,000 | 7,300,000 |
| South Dakota: Ellsworth AFB (78 Units) Ph 1 | 13,700,000 | 13,700,000 |
| Virginia: Langley AFB (4 Units) | 1,200,000 | 1,200,000 |
| Portugal: Lajes AFB Azores (64 Units) Ph 2 | 13,230,000 | 13,230,000 |
| Total | 140,800,000 | 150,800,000 |

CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS

The following projects are to be accomplished within the amounts provided for construction improvements:

| Location/project | Requested | Recommended |
|--|--------------|--------------|
| Alaska: Elmendorf AFB (624 units) Privatization | \$12,300,000 | \$12,300,000 |
| Arizona: Luke AFB—Improve Electrical System | 1,084,000 | 1,084,000 |
| California: | | |
| Beale AFB (1,444 units) Privatization | 14,500,000 | 14,500,000 |
| Travis AFB (49 units) | 3,276,000 | 3,276,000 |
| Colorado: USAF Academy—Improve Gas Valve Facility | 297,000 | 297,000 |
| Delaware: Dover AFB—Improve Family Housing Management Office | 400,000 | 400,000 |
| Washington, DC: Bolling AFB (2 units) | 690,000 | 690,000 |
| Florida: Eglin Aux Field 9 (330 Units) Privatization | | |
| Hawaii: | | |
| Hickam AFB (1,356 units) Privatization | 15,420,000 | 15,420,000 |
| Hickam AFB—Improve Infrastructure | 2,167,000 | 2,167,000 |
| Illinois: Scott AFB—Improve Housing Office | 550,000 | 550,000 |
| Louisiana: Barksdale AFB—Improve Water Distribution System | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 |
| Maryland: | | |
| Andrews AFB (202 units) | 16,789,000 | 16,789,000 |
| Andrews AFB (115 units) Privatization | 7,779,000 | 7,779,000 |
| Andrews AFB—Improve Housing Office | 600,000 | 600,000 |
| Massachusetts: Hanscom AFB—Improve Utilities Systems | 1,100,000 | 1,100,000 |
| Missouri: | | |
| Whiteman AFB (176 units) | | 17,966,000 |
| Whiteman AFB—Improve Sewer System | 500,000 | 500,000 |
| Nevada: Nellis AFB (1,313 units) Privatization | 1,466,000 | 1,466,000 |

| Location/project | Requested | Recommended |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| New Jersey: McGuire AFB (1,882 units) Privatization | 27,127,000 | 27,127,000 |
| North Dakota: Grand Forks AFB (181 units) | 17,810,000 | 17,810,000 |
| South Carolina: | | |
| Charleston AFB (178 units) | 13,495,000 | 13,495,000 |
| Charleston AFB (50 units) Hunley Park, Ph 2 | | 4,500,000 |
| Texas: Lackland AFB (564 units) Privatization | 20,733,000 | 20,733,000 |
| Virginia: | | |
| Langley AFB (66 units) Improve Historic Family Housing | 15,746,000 | 15,746,000 |
| Langley AFB (1,268 units) Privatization | 16,700,000 | 16,700,000 |
| Wyoming: F.E. Warren AFB—Improve Sanitary Sewer Mains | 470,000 | 470,000 |
| Germany: | | |
| Ramstein AB (407 units) | 46,668,000 | 46,668,000 |
| Ramstein AB—Improve Common Neighborhood Areas | 1,012,000 | 1,012,000 |
| Spangdahlem AFB (138 units) | 15,632,000 | 15,632,000 |
| Guam: Andersen AFB (112 units) Ph 10 | 17,534,000 | 17,534,000 |
| Japan: | | |
| Kadena AB (108 units) Ph 2 | 13,582,000 | 13,582,000 |
| Yokota AFB (281 units) Ph 1 | 16,240,000 | 16,240,000 |
| United Kingdom: | | |
| RAF Croughton (158 units) | 16,100,000 | 16,100,000 |
| RAF Lackenheath (156 units) | 15,208,000 | 15,208,000 |
| RAF Mildenhall (31 units) | 5,808,000 | 5,808,000 |
| RAF Molesworth (119 units) | 12,596,000 | 12,596,000 |
| Total | 352,879,000 | 375,345,000 |

FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE

| | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|
| Appropriations, 2001 | \$44,787,000 |
| Budget estimate, 2002 | 44,012,000 |
| Committee recommendation | 44,012,000 |

The Committee recommends \$44,012,000 for family housing, Defense-wide, in fiscal year 2002. This amount is equal to the budget request. Specific details are included in the tables at the end of the report.

FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND

| | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|
| Appropriations, 2001 | |
| Budget estimate, 2002 | \$2,000,000 |
| Committee recommendation | 2,000,000 |

The Committee recommends \$2,000,000 for the Family Housing Improvement Fund. This amount is equal to the budget request.

HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND, DEFENSE

| | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|
| Appropriations, 2001 | |
| Budget estimate, 2002 | \$10,119,000 |
| Committee recommendation | 10,119,000 |

The Committee recommends \$10,119,000 for the Homeowners Assistance Fund, which is equal to the amount of the budget request.

The Homeowners Assistance Fund is a non-expiring revolving fund which finances a program for providing assistance to homeowners by reducing their losses incident to the disposal of their homes when military installations at or near where they are serv-

ing or employed are ordered to be closed or the scope of operations is reduced. The Fund was established in recognition of the fact that base closure and reduction actions can have serious economic effects on local communities. The Fund receives funding from several sources: appropriations, borrowing authority, reimbursable authority, prior fiscal year unobligated balances, revenue from sale of acquired properties, and recovery of prior year obligations.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE

OVERVIEW

The Congress has appropriated, to date, a net total of \$21,393,333,000 for the Base Realignment and Closure program for fiscal years 1990 through 2001. In the bill for fiscal year 2002, the Committee is recommending total funding of \$682,200,000 under one account.

The Committee, in appropriating such funds, has provided the Department with the flexibility to allocate funds by Service, by function and by base. The Committee, in recognizing the complexities of realigning and closing bases and providing for environmental restoration, has provided such flexibility to allow the Office of the Secretary of Defense to monitor the program execution of the Services and to redistribute unobligated balances as appropriate to avoid delays and to effect timely execution of realignment and closures along with environmental restoration.

The following table displays the total amount appropriated for each round of base closure including amounts recommended for fiscal year 2002:

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE

[Total funding, fiscal year 1990 through fiscal year 2002]

| | Fiscal year 1990 through fiscal year 2000 | Fiscal year 2001 enacted | Fiscal year 2002 Committee rec- ommended | Total |
|----------------|---|-----------------------------|--|-----------------|
| Part I | \$2,684,577,000 | (¹) | (¹) | \$2,684,577,000 |
| Part II | 4,915,636,000 | (¹) | (¹) | 4,915,636,000 |
| Part III | 7,269,267,000 | (¹) | (¹) | 7,269,267,000 |
| Part IV | 5,492,738,000 | \$1,031,115,000 | \$682,200,000 | 7,206,053,000 |
| Total | 20,362,218,000 | 1,031,115,000 | 682,200,000 | 22,075,533,000 |

¹ Not applicable.

Since the start of the current process for Base Realignment and Closure, Military Construction Appropriations Acts have appropriated a net total of \$21,393,333,000 for the entire program for fiscal years 1990 through 2001. The total amount appropriated combined with the budget requested for fiscal year 2002 Base Realignment and Closure is a total of \$22,075,533,000.

BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART IV

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| Appropriations, 2001 | \$1,031,115,000 |
| Budget estimate, 2002 | 532,200,000 |
| Committee recommendation | 682,200,000 |

The Committee recommends \$682,200,000 for the base realignment and closure account, part IV. This is an increase of \$150,000,000 over the amount requested for fiscal year 2002, and \$348,915,000 under the amount appropriated in fiscal year 2001.

The Committee is deeply concerned about the adequacy of funding for, and pace of, environmental cleanup at BRAC installations. Of particular concern are the substantial shortfalls in the Navy and Air Force fiscal 2002 budget submissions for "must pay" BRAC environmental cleanup costs. Both services identified to this Committee environmental requirements that must be funded in fiscal year 2002 that were not included in the revised budget submission. The Navy has reported a shortfall of \$167,627,000 for urgent environmental remediation projects, including health and safety threats and legal commitments, at 16 installations. The Air Force shortfall is \$55,000,000 for clean up projects at 7 installations.

The administration's fiscal year 2002 budget request is \$532,200,000. The request is \$498,915,000 below the amount appropriated for fiscal year 2001, and \$150,000,000 below the Committee recommendation of \$682,200,000. The Committee believes that the budget request is wholly inadequate to meet the current environmental cleanup requirements at BRAC installations. To help make up the services' urgent shortfall in funding, the Committee recommends that the unobligated balance of \$55,030,000 remaining in the fiscal year 2001 Defense-wide account, "National Missile Defense Initial Deployment Facilities Phase 1," be rescinded and that the funds be reallocated to the base realignment and closure account, part IV, specifically to offset the Navy and Air Force BRAC environmental shortfalls. The Committee recommends this offset as a result of the Department's decision to shift the proposed national missile defense construction program from military construction into research, test, development, and evaluation.

The Committee also recommends that \$19,588,000 be rescinded from previously appropriated Navy planning and design funds, and that \$925,000 be rescinded from previously appropriated Naval Reserve planning and design funds and reallocated to the BRAC account so that the Navy will have an additional source of funds to meet its BRAC obligations.

In all, to help the communities affected by the services' environmental remediation shortfalls, the Committee recommends an increase of \$150,000,000 over the budget request for the base realignment and closure account, part IV, and strongly urges the Department to accelerate to the maximum extent possible the environmental cleanup of BRAC installations. Consistent with the requirements identified by the Navy and the Air Force, the Committee also expects the Department to properly fund this account to fully meet its requirements and honor its commitments in future budget requests. The Committee expects the Department to give priority consideration to the projects that the Navy and Air Force have identified as urgent unfunded requirements, and to execute these projects as expeditiously as possible in fiscal year 2002.

The Committee also directs the Department to provide a report by March 1, 2002, detailing the ongoing BRAC environmental remediation projects of each of the services and relevant Defense Agencies. The report should include the following elements: (1) the

total funds allocated to each project through fiscal year 2002, (2) the budget request for fiscal year 2003, (3) details of the mandatory spending requirements for fiscal year 2003 based on legal and regulatory commitments, (4) the projected cost to complete each project, and (5) the projected completion date.

HUNTERS POINT NAVAL SHIPYARD

Of particular concern to the Committee is the level of progress being made on BRAC environmental remediation at the former Hunters Point Naval Shipyard in California.

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide the congressional defense committees, within 90 days of the enactment of this Act, with a master plan for the cleanup of Hunters Point Naval Shipyard. This plan should include a detailed cleanup schedule plus cost estimates for each parcel as well as for the entire project. Following submission of the initial report, the Secretary is directed to provide semi-annual progress reports to the defense congressional committees.

The Committee expects the Navy to fully fund the cleanup of the shipyard in a timely manner, and to execute as expeditiously as possible the total fiscal year 2002 program, at no less than the budgeted level of \$50,608,000. This includes allocating sufficient resources to complete the cleanup of Parcel B, to continue the cleanup of Parcels C and D, and to initiate site characterization of Parcels E and F in fiscal year 2002. The Committee also expects the Navy to adequately fund the cleanup of Parcels C and D and the site characterization of Parcels E and F as expeditiously as possible in accordance with the master plan.

ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION, MCCLELLAN AIR FORCE BASE, CA

The closure of McClellan Air Force Base was completed on July 13, 2001. Productive re-use of the base is now underway, and nearly 2,000 of the 25,000 jobs lost to the Sacramento Region with the base closure have been recreated. An estimated \$490,000,000 in BRAC environmental remediation funds will be required to complete the base clean up program. Of particular concern to the Committee is the urgent requirement to clean up the plutonium contamination at the installation. In addition to the \$35,754,000 included in the President's fiscal year 2002 budget for environmental clean up at McClellan AFB, the Air Force has identified to the Committee a shortfall of \$22,600,000 for fiscal year 2002 clean up activities at McClellan. The Committee expects the Air Force to fully fund the environmental remediation program at McClellan in 2002 and beyond.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

The following lists general provisions proposed by the Committee. The Committee recommends inclusion of several proposals which have been incorporated in previous appropriations acts, provisions requested by the Defense Department, and new provisions. The Committee recommendations are as follows:

SEC. 101. Restricts payments under a cost-plus-a-fixed-fee contract for work, except in cases of contracts for environmental restoration at base closure sites.

SEC. 102. Permits use of funds for hire of passenger motor vehicles.

SEC. 103. Permits use of funds for defense access roads.

SEC. 104. Prohibits construction of new bases inside the continental United States for which specific appropriations have not been made.

SEC. 105. Limits the use of funds for purchase of land or land easements.

SEC. 106. Prohibits the use of funds to acquire land, prepare a site, or install utilities for any family housing except housing for which funds have been made available.

SEC. 107. Limits the use of minor construction funds to transfer or relocate activities among installations.

SEC. 108. Prohibits the procurement of steel unless American producers, fabricators, and manufacturers have been allowed to compete.

SEC. 109. Prohibits payments of real property taxes in foreign nations.

SEC. 110. Prohibits construction of new bases overseas without prior notification.

SEC. 111. Establishes a threshold for American preference of \$500,000 relating to architect and engineering services.

SEC. 112. Establishes preference for American contractors for military construction in the United States territories and possessions in the Pacific, and on Kwajalein Atoll, or in the Arabian Gulf.

SEC. 113. Requires notification of military exercises involving construction in excess of \$100,000.

SEC. 114. Limits obligations during the last 2 months of the year.

SEC. 115. Permits funds appropriated in prior years to be available for construction authorized during the current session of Congress.

SEC. 116. Permits the use of expired or lapsed funds to pay the cost of supervision for any project being completed with lapsed funds.

SEC. 117. Permits obligation of funds from more than 1 fiscal year to execute a construction project, provided that the total obligation for such project is consistent with the total amount appropriated for the project.

SEC. 118. Allows expired funds to be transferred to the “Foreign currency fluctuations, construction, defense” account.

SEC. 119. Directs the Department to report annually on actions taken to encourage other nations to assume a greater share of the common defense budget.

SEC. 120. Allows transfer of proceeds from earlier base realignment and closure accounts to the continuing base realignment and closure accounts.

SEC. 121. Permits the transfer of funds from Family Housing Construction accounts to the DOD Family Housing Improvement Fund.

SEC. 122. Restricts the use of funds for the Partnership for Peace Program.

SEC. 123. Requires the Secretary of Defense to notify the congressional defense committees of all family housing privatization solicitations and agreements which contain any clause providing consideration for base realignment and closure, force reductions and extended deployments.

SEC. 124. Provides transfer authority to the Homeowners Assistance Program.

SEC. 125. Requires that all Military Construction Appropriations Acts be the sole funding source of all operation and maintenance for family housing, including flag and general officer quarters, and limits the repair on flag and general officer quarters to \$35,000 per year without prior notification to the defense committees.

SEC. 126. Provides for completion of the Masirah Island Airfield project in Oman.

SEC. 127. Directs the Secretary of Defense to submit to the congressional defense committees a Master Plan for the environmental remediation of Hunters Point Naval Shipyard, California.

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 7, RULE XVI, OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 7 of rule XVI requires that Committee reports on general appropriations bills identify each Committee amendment to the House bill “which proposes an item of appropriation which is not made to carry out the provisions of an existing law, a treaty stipulation, or an act or resolution previously passed by the Senate during that session.”

COMPLIANCE WITH PARAGRAPH 12, RULE XXVI OF THE STANDING RULES OF THE SENATE

Paragraph 12 of rule XXVI requires that Committee reports on a bill or joint resolution repealing or amending any statute or part of any statute include “(a) the text of the statute or part thereof which is proposed to be repealed; and (b) a comparative print of that part of the bill or joint resolution making the amendment and of the statute or part thereof proposed to be amended, showing by stricken-through type and italics, parallel columns, or other appropriate typographical devices the omissions and insertions which would be made by the bill or joint resolution if enacted in the form recommended by the committee.”

The Committee bill as recommended contains no such provisions.

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION

[In thousands of dollars]

| Installation and project | Budget request | Committee recommendation | Change from budget estimate |
|---|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| ALABAMA | | | |
| ARMY: | | | |
| ANNISTON ARMY DEPOT: | | | |
| COMPONENT MAINTENANCE FACILITY | 2,300 | 2,300 | |
| REBUILD SHOP AND FACILITY | 2,850 | 2,850 | |
| FORT RUCKER: COMMANCHE SIMULATOR TRAINING FACILITY | 11,400 | 11,400 | |
| REDSTONE ARSENAL: DINING FACILITY | 7,200 | 7,200 | |
| AIR FORCE: | | | |
| MAXWELL AFB: | | | |
| SQUADRON OFFICER SCHOOL ACADEMIC FACILITY | 9,000 | 9,000 | |
| OFFICER TRAINING SCHOOL DORMITORY | 11,800 | 11,800 | |
| SQUADRON OFFICER SCHOOL DORMITORY | 13,600 | 13,600 | |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: | | | |
| HUNTSVILLE: UNIT TRAINING EQUIPMENT SITE | 7,498 | 7,498 | |
| MOBILE: ADD/ALTER READINESS CENTER | 5,333 | 5,333 | |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD: DOTHAN: COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS SQUADRON | | 11,000 | + 11,000 |
| AIR FORCE RESERVE: | | | |
| MAXWELL AFB: | | | |
| FUEL CELL MAINTENANCE HANGAR | 7,300 | 7,300 | |
| AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR | 9,900 | 9,900 | |
| TOTAL, ALABAMA | 88,181 | 99,181 | + 11,000 |
| ALASKA | | | |
| ARMY: | | | |
| FORT RICHARDSON: BARRACKS COMPLEX (PHASE I) | 45,000 | 45,000 | |
| FORT WAINWRIGHT: | | | |
| ASSEMBLY BUILDING | 4,200 | 4,200 | |
| POWER PLANT COOLING TOWER | 23,000 | 23,000 | |
| FORT RICHARDSON: MOUT TRAINING FACILITY | | 18,000 | + 18,000 |
| AIR FORCE: | | | |
| EARECKSON AFB: UPGRADE WASTEWATER SYSTEM | 4,600 | 4,600 | |
| ELMENDORF AFB: | | | |
| ADD/ALTER AIRCRAFT FUEL SYSTEM MAINTENANCE HANGAR | 12,200 | 12,200 | |
| DORMITORY | 20,000 | 20,000 | |
| DEFENSE-WIDE: | | | |
| EIELSON AFB: REPLACE BULK FUEL STORAGE TANKS | 8,800 | 8,800 | |
| FORT WAINWRIGHT: HOSPITAL REPLACEMENT (PHASE III) | 18,500 | 18,500 | |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: JUNEAU: READINESS CENTER | | 7,568 | + 7,568 |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD: ELMENDORF AFB: UPGRADE COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS FACILITIES | 5,000 | 5,000 | |
| TOTAL, ALASKA | 141,300 | 166,868 | + 25,568 |
| ARIZONA | | | |
| ARMY: FORT HUACHUCA: EFFLUENT REUSE SYSTEM | 6,100 | 6,100 | |
| NAVY: | | | |
| YUMA MARINE CORPS AIR STATION: | | | |
| AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWER | 6,750 | 6,750 | |
| LAND ACQUISITION (PHASE II) | 8,660 | 8,660 | |
| STATION ORDNANCE AREA | 7,160 | 7,160 | |
| AIR FORCE: | | | |
| DAVIS-MONTHAN AFB: | | | |
| DORMITORY | 8,700 | 8,700 | |
| REPLACE AIRCRAFT RECLAMATION/PARTS PROCESS COMPLEX | 8,600 | 8,600 | |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: MARANA: AVIATION MAINTENANCE HANGAR | 14,358 | 14,358 | |
| ARMY RESERVE: MESA: RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP | 10,900 | 10,900 | |
| TOTAL, ARIZONA | 71,228 | 71,228 | |

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

| Installation and project | Budget request | Committee recommendation | Change from budget estimate |
|---|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| ARKANSAS | | | |
| ARMY: PINE BLUFF ARSENAL: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE VI) | 26,000 | | — 26,000 |
| AIR FORCE: | | | |
| LITTLE ROCK AFB: | | | |
| C-130J FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY | 10,600 | 10,600 | |
| FIRE STATION | | 7,500 | + 7,500 |
| DEFENSE-WIDE: PINE BLUFF ARSENAL: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE VI) | | 26,000 | + 26,000 |
| TOTAL, ARKANSAS | 36,600 | 44,100 | + 7,500 |
| CALIFORNIA | | | |
| NAVY: | | | |
| CAMP PENDLETON MARINE CORPS BASE: | | | |
| AIRCRAFT HANGAR IMPROVEMENTS | 4,470 | 4,470 | |
| BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS | 21,200 | 21,200 | |
| BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS | 21,600 | 21,600 | |
| BOAT MAINTENANCE FACILITY | 11,980 | 11,980 | |
| HELO OUTLYING LANDING FIELD (PHASE II) | 3,910 | 3,910 | |
| INDOOR PHYSICAL FITNESS FACILITY | 13,460 | 13,460 | |
| IRON/MANGANESE PLANT | 11,180 | 11,180 | |
| REGIMENTAL ARTILLERY MAINTENANCE COMPLEX | 13,160 | 13,160 | |
| CORONADO NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE: EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE TRAINING FACILITY | 8,610 | 8,610 | |
| EL CENTRO NAVAL AIR FACILITY: TRANSIENT BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS | 23,520 | 23,520 | |
| LEMOORE NAVAL AIR STATION: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS | 10,010 | 10,010 | |
| PORT HUENEME NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CENTER: | | | |
| VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SCHOOL | 3,780 | 3,780 | |
| PORT IMPROVEMENTS | 12,400 | 12,400 | |
| SAN DIEGO NAVAL STATION: | | | |
| BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS | 47,240 | 47,240 | |
| REPLACE PIERS 10/11 (PHASE II) | 17,500 | 17,500 | |
| SAN NICHOLAS ISLAND: SUPPLY PIER | 13,730 | 13,730 | |
| TWENTYNINE PALMS: | | | |
| ACADEMIC INSTRUCTION BUILDING | 9,860 | 9,860 | |
| AMMUNITION STORAGE FACILITIES | 9,540 | 9,540 | |
| BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS | 29,675 | 29,675 | |
| ENLISTED DINING FACILITY | 11,930 | 11,930 | |
| RESERVE SUPPORT FACILITIES | 8,760 | 8,760 | |
| VEHICLE WASH STATION | 5,360 | 5,360 | |
| AIR FORCE: | | | |
| EDWARDS AFB: | | | |
| ADD/ALTER TERMINAL AREA CONTROL FACILITY | 4,600 | 4,600 | |
| CONSOLIDATED SUPPORT FACILITY | 11,700 | 11,700 | |
| LOS ANGELES AFB: CONSOLIDATED BASE SUPPORT COMPLEX | 23,000 | 23,000 | |
| TRAVIS AFB: | | | |
| REPLACE SUPPORT FACILITY | 6,800 | 6,800 | |
| C-5 SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY | | 9,600 | + 9,600 |
| VANDENBERG AFB: MISSILE TRANSPORT BRIDGE | 11,800 | 11,800 | |
| DEFENSE-WIDE: | | | |
| CAMP PENDLETON MARINE CORPS BASE: | | | |
| FLEET HOSPITAL SUPPORT FACILITIES | 3,150 | 3,150 | |
| REPLACE MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC (HORNO) | 4,300 | 4,300 | |
| REPLACE MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC (LAS FLORES) | 3,800 | 3,800 | |
| REPLACE MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC (LAS PULGAS) | 4,050 | 4,050 | |
| TRACY DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT: REPLACE GENERAL PURPOSE WAREHOUSE | 30,000 | 30,000 | |
| CORONADO NAVAL AMPHIBIOUS BASE: SEAL TEAM FIVE OPERATIONS FACILITY | 13,650 | 13,650 | |

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

| Installation and project | Budget request | Committee recommendation | Change from budget estimate |
|---|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| TWENTYNINE PALMS: HOSPITAL LDRP CONVERSION | 1,600 | 1,600 | |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: | | | |
| FORT IRWIN: MANEUVER AREA TRAINING EQUIPMENT SITE (PHASE I) .. | 21,953 | 21,953 | |
| LANCASTER: READINESS CENTER | 4,530 | 4,530 | |
| AZUSA: READINESS CENTER | | 14,011 | + 14,011 |
| NAVY RESERVE: PORT HUENEME NAVAL RESERVE CENTER: VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY | 1,000 | 1,000 | |
| TOTAL, CALIFORNIA | 458,808 | 482,419 | + 23,611 |
| COLORADO | | | |
| ARMY: | | | |
| FORT CARSON: BARRACKS COMPLEX (NELSON BLVD) (PHASE I) | 25,000 | 25,000 | |
| PUEBLO DEPOT: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE III) | 11,000 | | — 11,000 |
| AIR FORCE: | | | |
| BUCKLEY AFB: | | | |
| DORMITORY | 11,200 | 11,200 | |
| FITNESS CENTER | 12,000 | 12,000 | |
| CONTROL TOWER | | 5,800 | + 5,800 |
| SCHRIEVER AFB: SBIRS MISSION CONTROL STATION BACKUP | 19,000 | 19,000 | |
| U.S. AIR FORCE ACADEMY: | | | |
| ADD/ALTER ATHLETIC FACILITIES (PHASE II) | 11,400 | 11,400 | |
| INSTALL AIR CONDITIONING (ENLISTED DORMITORY) | 1,300 | 1,300 | |
| REPLACE CONTROL TOWER | 6,400 | 6,400 | |
| UPGRADE POTABLE WATER SYSTEM (CADET AREA) | 6,400 | 6,400 | |
| DEFENSE-WIDE: | | | |
| PUEBLO DEPOT: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE III) | | 11,000 | + 11,000 |
| SCHRIEVER AFB: MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC | 4,000 | 4,000 | |
| ARMY RESERVE: FORT CARSON: ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER/NEW RESERVE CENTER | 9,394 | 9,394 | |
| TOTAL, COLORADO | 117,094 | 122,894 | + 5,800 |
| CONNECTICUT | | | |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD: ORANGE ANG: REPLACE AIR CONTROL SQUADRON COMPLEX | 12,000 | 12,000 | |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | | | |
| ARMY: FORT MCNAIR: PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER | 11,600 | 11,600 | |
| NAVY: ANACOSTIA: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS REPLACEMENT | 9,810 | 9,810 | |
| AIR FORCE: BOLLING AFB: ADD/ALTER CHAPEL CENTER | 2,900 | 2,900 | |
| TOTAL, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA | 24,310 | 24,310 | |
| DELAWARE | | | |
| AIR FORCE: DOVER AFB: FIRE STATION | | 7,300 | + 7,300 |
| FLORIDA | | | |
| NAVY: | | | |
| KEY WEST NAVAL AIR STATION: AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL TOWER/OPERATIONS BUILDING | 11,400 | 11,400 | |
| MAYPORT NAVAL STATION: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS | 16,420 | 16,420 | |
| PENSACOLA NAVAL AIR STATION: CONSOLIDATED FIRE STATION | | 3,700 | + 3,700 |
| WHITING FIELD NAVAL AIR STATION: AIRFIELD APPROACH LIGHTING | 2,140 | 2,140 | |
| AIR FORCE: | | | |
| CAPE CANAVERAL AIR STATION: REPLACE FIRECRASH RESCUE STATION | 7,800 | 7,800 | |
| EGLIN AFB: COMMAND AND CONTROL TEST OPERATIONS CENTER | 11,400 | 11,400 | |
| HURLBURT FIELD: | | | |
| CONSOLIDATED COMMUNICATION FACILITY | 4,000 | 4,000 | |

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

| Installation and project | Budget request | Committee recommendation | Change from budget estimate |
|--|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| DINING FACILITY/FITNESS CENTER | 6,400 | 6,400 | |
| MACDILL AFB: MISSION PLANNING CENTER (PHASE I) | 10,000 | | — 10,000 |
| TYNDALL AFB: | | | |
| F-22 FUELS SYSTEM MAINTANENCE HANGAR | 3,050 | 3,050 | |
| F-22 SQUAD OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINT UNIT HANGAR | 12,000 | 12,000 | |
| DEFENSE-WIDE: | | | |
| HURLBURT FIELD: | | | |
| ADD/ALTER MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC | 8,800 | 8,800 | |
| CV-22 TRAINING DEVICE SUPPORT FACILITY | 10,200 | 10,200 | |
| READINESS SUPPLY PACKAGE FACILITY | 3,200 | 3,200 | |
| MACDILL AFB: | | | |
| PUBLIC ACCESS BUILDING | 2,500 | 2,500 | |
| RENOVATE COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY | 9,500 | 9,500 | |
| MAYPORT NAVAL STATION: REPLACE MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC | 24,000 | 24,000 | |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP BLANDING: REPLACE WEATHER TRAINING COMPLEX | 6,900 | 6,900 | |
| NAVY RESERVE: | | | |
| JACKSONVILLE NAVAL AIR STATION: | | | |
| HANGAR RENOVATIONS | 3,744 | 3,744 | |
| MARINE CORPS RESERVE TRAINING CENTER | | | |
| READINESS SUPPORT SITE FACILITIES | 2,500 | 2,500 | |
| TOTAL, FLORIDA | 155,954 | 149,654 | — 6,300 |
| GEORGIA | | | |
| ARMY: | | | |
| FORT BENNING: | | | |
| PASSENGER PROCESSING FACILITY | 17,000 | 17,000 | |
| RUNWAY EXTENSION | 6,900 | 6,900 | |
| FORT GILLEM: | | | |
| CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION FORENSIC LABORATORY | 29,000 | 29,000 | |
| EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DETACHMENT OPERATIONS BUILDING | 5,600 | 5,600 | |
| FORT GORDON: | | | |
| INFORMATION SYSTEMS FACILITY | 11,000 | 11,000 | |
| VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY | 23,000 | 23,000 | |
| FORT STEWART: | | | |
| EDUCATION CENTER | 16,000 | 16,000 | |
| SOLDIER SERVICE CENTER | 10,200 | 10,200 | |
| VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY | 13,600 | 13,600 | |
| AIR FORCE: | | | |
| MOODY AFB: FITNESS CENTER | | 8,600 | + 8,600 |
| ROBINS AFB: | | | |
| FIRE TRAINING FACILITY | 3,800 | 3,800 | |
| LARGE ITEM AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PAINT FAC | 3,050 | 3,050 | |
| REPLACE KC-135 SQUADRON OPERATIONS | 7,800 | 7,800 | |
| DEFENSE-WIDE: | | | |
| ALBANY MARINE CORPS LOGISTICS BASE: REPLACE MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC | 5,800 | 5,800 | |
| FORT BENNING: TACTICAL EQUIPMENT COMPLEX | 5,100 | 5,100 | |
| FORT STEWART: CONSOLIDATED TROOP MEDICAL CLINIC | 11,000 | 11,000 | |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD: ROBINS AFB: REPLACE OPERATIONS AND TRAINING COMPLEX | 6,100 | 6,100 | |
| AIR FORCE RESERVE: ROBINS AFB: AIR FORCE RESERVE HEADQUARTERS (PHASE II) | 2,000 | 2,000 | |
| TOTAL, GEORGIA | 176,950 | 185,550 | + 8,600 |
| HAWAII | | | |
| ARMY: | | | |
| PEARL HARBOR: SHIPPING OPERATIONS BUILDING | 11,800 | 11,800 | |
| POHAKULOA TRAINING AREA: | | | |
| COMMAND AND RANGE CONTROL BUILDING | 5,100 | 5,100 | |

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

| Installation and project | Budget request | Committee recommendation | Change from budget estimate |
|--|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| LAND ACQUISITION PARKER RANCH | | 1,500 | + 1,500 |
| KAHUKU WINDMILL SITE: LAND ACQUISITION | | 900 | + 900 |
| SCHOFIELD BARRACKS: BARRACKS COMPLEX (WILSON STREET) (PHASE I-C) | 23,000 | 23,000 | |
| WHEELER ARMY AIRFIELD: BARRACKS COMPLEX (AVIATION) (PHASE VI-A) | 50,000 | 50,000 | |
| NAVY: | | | |
| CAMP SMITH: CINCPAC HEADQUARTERS (PHASE III) | 37,580 | 37,580 | |
| KANE OHE BAY MARINE CORPS BASE: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS | 24,920 | 24,920 | |
| LUALUALEI NAVAL MAGAZINE: SHORE POWER AT WHARVES | 6,000 | 6,000 | |
| PEARL HARBOR NAVAL COMPLEX: | | | |
| BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS | 17,300 | 17,300 | |
| BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS | 23,300 | 23,300 | |
| DRYDOCK SUPPORT FACILITY | 7,900 | 7,900 | |
| ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM IMPROVEMENTS | 12,100 | 12,100 | |
| SEWER FORCE MAIN | 16,900 | 16,900 | |
| FORD ISLAND WATER LINE REPLACEMENT | | 14,100 | + 14,100 |
| DEFENSE-WIDE: HICKAM AFB: REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM | 29,200 | 29,200 | |
| TOTAL, HAWAII | 265,100 | 281,600 | + 16,500 |
| IDAHO | | | |
| AIR FORCE: MOUNTAIN HOME AFB: REPLACE AIRCRAFT PARKING APRON | 14,600 | 14,600 | |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: GOWEN FIELD: READINESS CENTER | 8,117 | 8,117 | |
| TOTAL, IDAHO | 22,717 | 22,717 | |
| ILLINOIS | | | |
| ARMY: ROCK ISLAND ARSENAL: CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER | | 3,500 | + 3,500 |
| NAVY: | | | |
| GREAT LAKES NAVAL TRAINING CENTER: | | | |
| RECRUIT BARRACKS | 41,130 | 41,130 | |
| RECRUIT BARRACKS | 41,130 | 41,130 | |
| NAVY RESERVE: GREAT LAKES: RESERVE CENTER RENOVATION | 4,426 | 4,426 | |
| TOTAL, ILLINOIS | 86,686 | 90,186 | + 3,500 |
| INDIANA | | | |
| ARMY: NEWPORT ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE IV) | 66,000 | | — 66,000 |
| NAVY: CRANE NAVAL SURFACE WARFARE CENTER: SPECIAL WARFARE MUNITIONS ENGINEERING FACILITY | 5,820 | 5,820 | |
| DEFENSE-WIDE: NEWPORT ARMY AMMUNITION PLANT: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE IV) | | 66,000 | + 66,000 |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP ATTERBURY: BATTLE SIMULATION CENTER ... | | 4,947 | + 4,947 |
| AIR FORCE RESERVE: GRISSOM ARB: SERVICES COMPLEX (PHASE III) | 13,200 | 13,200 | |
| TOTAL, INDIANA | 85,020 | 89,967 | + 4,947 |
| IOWA | | | |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: ESTHERVILLE: READINESS CENTER | 2,713 | 2,713 | |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD: | | | |
| SIoux GATEWAY AIRPORT: | | | |
| KC-135 PARKING APRON/HYDRANT REFUELING SYSTEM | 14,400 | 14,400 | |
| KC-135 FUEL CELL/CORROSION CONTROL HANGAR | 8,300 | 8,300 | |
| KC-135 EXTEND AND UPGRADE TAXIWAY | 4,300 | 4,300 | |
| TOTAL, IOWA | 29,713 | 29,713 | |

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

| Installation and project | Budget request | Committee recommendation | Change from budget estimate |
|---|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| KANSAS | | | |
| ARMY: | | | |
| FORT RILEY: | | | |
| CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER | 6,800 | 6,800 | |
| MODIFIED RECORD FIRE RANGE | 4,100 | 4,100 | |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: FORT RILEY: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP | 645 | 645 | |
| TOTAL, KANSAS | 11,545 | 11,545 | |
| KENTUCKY | | | |
| ARMY: | | | |
| BLUE GRASS ARMY DEPOT: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE II) | 3,000 | | — 3,000 |
| FORT CAMPBELL: | | | |
| BARRACKS COMPLEX (MARKET GARDEN ROAD) (PHASE III) | 47,000 | 47,000 | |
| DEPLOYMENT STAGING COMPLEX | 3,300 | 3,300 | |
| DEPLOYMENT STAGING COMPLEX/AIR | 3,300 | 3,300 | |
| DEPLOYMENT STAGING COMPLEX/RAIL | 3,300 | 3,300 | |
| ELECTRICAL SUBSTATION | 10,000 | 10,000 | |
| EXPAND KEYHOLE HARDSTAND AREA | 10,600 | 10,600 | |
| PASSENGER PROCESSING FACILITY | 11,400 | 11,400 | |
| FORT KNOX: RANGE MULTI-PURPOSE DIGITAL TANK (PHASE IV) WILCOX RANGE | | 12,000 | + 12,000 |
| DEFENSE-WIDE: BLUEGRASS ARMY DEPOT: AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE II) | | 3,000 | + 3,000 |
| ARMY RESERVE: FORT KNOX: RESERVE CENTER | 14,846 | 14,846 | |
| TOTAL, KENTUCKY | 106,746 | 118,746 | + 12,000 |
| LOUISIANA | | | |
| ARMY: | | | |
| FORT POLK: | | | |
| EDUCATION CENTER | 10,800 | 10,800 | |
| READINESS AND OPERATIONS FACILITY | 10,400 | 10,400 | |
| AIR FORCE: BARKSDALE AFB: CONTROL TOWER | | 5,000 | + 5,000 |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: | | | |
| CAMP BEAUREGARD: READINESS CENTER | 5,392 | 5,392 | |
| CARVILLE: READINESS CENTER | 5,677 | 5,677 | |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD: NEW ORLEANS: VEHICLE MAINTENANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT FACILITY | | 5,500 | + 5,500 |
| NAVY RESERVE: LAFAYETTE: MARINE RESERVE TRAINING CENTER | 5,200 | 5,200 | |
| NEW ORLEANS NAVAL AIR STATION: | | | |
| EQUIPMENT SHOP AND HOLDING SHELTER | 2,270 | 2,270 | |
| JOINT RESERVE CENTER (PHASE II) | | | |
| REFUELER MAINTENANCE FACILITY | 650 | 650 | |
| REPLACE BRIDGES | 1,300 | 1,300 | |
| TOTAL, LOUISIANA | 41,689 | 52,189 | + 10,500 |
| MAINE | | | |
| NAVY: | | | |
| BRUNSWICK NAVAL AIR STATION: | | | |
| AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR | 41,665 | 41,665 | |
| BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS | 22,630 | 22,630 | |
| P-3 SUPPORT FACILITY | 3,100 | 3,100 | |
| KITTERY-PORTSMOUTH NAVAL STATION: BACHELOR HOUSING | | 14,620 | + 14,620 |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: BANGOR: ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY (PHASE I) | 11,618 | 11,618 | |
| TOTAL, MAINE | 79,013 | 93,633 | + 14,620 |

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

| Installation and project | Budget request | Committee recommendation | Change from budget estimate |
|--|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| MARYLAND | | | |
| ARMY: | | | |
| ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND: | | | |
| AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE IV) | 66,500 | | — 66,500 |
| AMMUNITION SURVEILLANCE FACILITY | 5,300 | 5,300 | |
| CLIMATIC TEST FACILITY | 9,000 | 9,000 | |
| EDGEWOOD: CHEMISTRY LABORATORY | 44,000 | 44,000 | |
| FORT MEADE: | | | |
| CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER | 5,800 | 5,800 | |
| OPERATIONS FACILITY (55TH SIGNAL COMPANY) | | 5,400 | + 5,400 |
| NAVY: | | | |
| INDIAN HEAD NAVAL EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE CENTER: JOINT EOD EQUIPMENT MAGNETIC EVALUATION FACILITY | 1,250 | 1,250 | |
| PATUXENT RIVER NAVAL AIR WARFARE CENTER: | | | |
| ADVANCED SYSTEMS INTEGRATION FACILITY (PHASE VI) | 10,770 | 10,770 | |
| RANGES OPERATIONS SUPPORT FACILITY | 2,260 | 2,260 | |
| AIR FORCE: | | | |
| ANDREWS AFB: | | | |
| CONSOLIDATE SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY | 10,070 | 10,070 | |
| REPAIR EAST RUNWAY | 7,600 | 7,600 | |
| UPGRADE FIRE TRAINING FACILITY | 1,750 | 1,750 | |
| DEFENSE-WIDE: | | | |
| ABERDEEN PROVING GROUND: | | | |
| AMMUNITION DEMILITARIZATION FACILITY (PHASE IV) | | 66,500 | + 66,500 |
| OPERATIONAL TRAINING FACILITY | 3,200 | 3,200 | |
| ANDREWS AFB: | | | |
| ADD/ALTER MEDICAL CLINIC | 7,300 | 7,300 | |
| BRANCH MEDICAL/DENTAL CLINIC RELOCATION | 2,950 | 2,950 | |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: SALISBURY: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP | 2,314 | 2,314 | |
| TOTAL, MARYLAND | 180,064 | 185,464 | + 5,400 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | | | |
| AIR FORCE: HANSCOM AFB: RENOVATE ACQUISITION MGMT FACILITY (PHASE III) | 9,400 | 9,400 | |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: FRAMINGHAM: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP | 8,347 | 8,347 | |
| TOTAL, MASSACHUSETTS | 17,747 | 17,747 | |
| MICHIGAN | | | |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: | | | |
| LANSING: COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP (PHASE II) | 5,809 | 5,809 | |
| AUGUSTA/BATTLE CREEK: TASS BARRACKS | | 13,318 | + 13,318 |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD: | | | |
| SELFRIDGE ANGB: RUNWAY CLEAR ZONE LAND ACQUISITION | 2,000 | 2,000 | |
| BATTLE CREEK: MUNITIONS AND MAINTENANCE STORAGE COMPLEX | | 9,500 | + 9,500 |
| NAVY RESERVE: SELFRIDGE ANGB: VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY | 1,490 | 1,490 | |
| TOTAL, MICHIGAN | 9,299 | 32,117 | + 22,818 |
| MINNESOTA | | | |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD: DULUTH INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT: COMPOSITE AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE COMPLEX (PHASE I) | | 10,000 | + 10,000 |
| NAVY RESERVE: DULUTH: RESERVE CENTER ADDITION | 2,980 | 2,980 | |
| TOTAL, MINNESOTA | 2,980 | 12,980 | + 10,000 |

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

| Installation and project | Budget request | Committee recommendation | Change from budget estimate |
|---|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| MISSISSIPPI | | | |
| NAVY: | | | |
| GULFPORT NAVAL CONSTRUCTION BATTALION CENTER: | | | |
| BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS | 14,300 | 14,300 | |
| MOBILIZATION OPERATIONS FACILITY | 7,360 | 7,360 | |
| PASCAGOULA: FLEET OPERATIONS BUILDING | | 4,680 | + 4,680 |
| MERIDIAN NAVAL AIR STATION: T-45 SUPPORT FACILITY | | 3,370 | + 3,370 |
| AIR FORCE: | | | |
| REPLACE TECHNICAL TRAINING FACILITY (PHASE II-A) | 28,600 | 28,600 | |
| COLUMBUS AFB: RADAR APPROACH CONTROL CENTER | | 5,000 | + 5,000 |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: | | | |
| CAMP SHELBY: MILITARY EDUCATION CENTER (PHASE II) | 11,444 | 11,444 | |
| GULFPORT: READINESS CENTER | 9,145 | 9,145 | |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD: | | | |
| JACKSON IAP: | | | |
| C-17 FACILITY CONVERSION | 16,500 | 16,500 | |
| UPGRADE CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY | 5,700 | 5,700 | |
| AIR FORCE RESERVE: KEESLER AFB: C-130J MAINTENANCE HANGAR | 12,000 | 12,000 | |
| TOTAL, MISSISSIPPI | 105,049 | 118,099 | + 13,050 |
| MISSOURI | | | |
| ARMY: | | | |
| FORT LEONARD WOOD: | | | |
| BASIC COMBAT TRAINING COMPLEX (PHASE II) | 27,000 | 27,000 | |
| NIGHT FIRE RANGE | 4,300 | 4,300 | |
| RECORD FIRE RANGE | 3,550 | 3,550 | |
| NAVY: KANSAS CITY MARINE CORPS SUPPORT ACTIVITY: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS | 9,010 | 9,010 | |
| TOTAL, MISSOURI | 43,860 | 43,860 | |
| MONTANA | | | |
| AIR FORCE: MALMSTROM AFB: CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER | | 4,650 | + 4,650 |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: KALISPELL: READINESS CENTER | 822 | 822 | |
| TOTAL, MONTANA | 822 | 5,472 | + 4,650 |
| NEBRASKA | | | |
| AIR FORCE: OFFUTT AFB: FIRE/CRASH RESCUE STATION | | 10,400 | + 10,400 |
| TOTAL, NEBRASKA | | 10,400 | + 10,400 |
| NEVADA | | | |
| NAVY: FALLON NAS: WATER TREATMENT FACILITY | | 6,150 | + 6,150 |
| AIR FORCE: | | | |
| NELLIS AFB: | | | |
| DYNAMIC BATTLE CONTROL CENTER | 12,600 | 12,600 | |
| LAND ACQUISITION | | 19,000 | + 19,000 |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD: RENO-TAHOE IAP: REPLACE BASE SUPPLY WAREHOUSE COMPLEX | 8,500 | 8,500 | |
| TOTAL, NEVADA | 21,100 | 46,250 | + 25,150 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | | | |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: | | | |
| CONCORD: | | | |
| ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY | 27,185 | 27,185 | |
| READINESS CENTER | 1,868 | 1,868 | |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD: PEASE AFB: KC-135R SIMULATOR TRAINING FACILITY | 2,200 | 2,200 | |

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

| Installation and project | Budget request | Committee recommendation | Change from budget estimate |
|---|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| ARMY RESERVE: ROCHESTER: RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP | 9,122 | 9,122 | |
| TOTAL, NEW HAMPSHIRE | 40,375 | 40,375 | |
| NEW JERSEY | | | |
| ARMY: FORT MONMOUTH: BARRACKS | 20,000 | 20,000 | |
| NAVY: EARLE NAS: EXPLOSIVE TRUCK HOLDING YARDS (3) | | 4,370 | + 4,370 |
| AIR FORCE: | | | |
| C-17 ADD/ALTER FUEL CELL | 1,050 | 1,050 | |
| C-17 COMMUNICATIONS SUPPORT | 1,400 | 1,400 | |
| C-17 FLIGHT SIMULATOR FACILITY | 4,900 | 4,900 | |
| C-17 MAINTENANCE HANGAR | 27,700 | 27,700 | |
| C-17 THREE BAY HANGAR | 1,500 | 1,500 | |
| DEFENSE-WIDE: MCGUIRE AFB: BULK FUEL STORAGE TANK | 4,400 | 4,400 | |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD: | | | |
| ATLANTIC CITY IAP: COMMUNICATIONS/SECURITY FORCES COMPLEX ... | 6,300 | 6,300 | |
| MCGUIRE AFB: REPLACE JOINT MEDICAL TRAINING FACILITY | 4,900 | 4,900 | |
| ARMY RESERVE: FORT DIX: BARRACKS MODERNIZATION | 12,000 | 12,000 | |
| TOTAL, NEW JERSEY | 84,150 | 88,520 | + 4,370 |
| NEW MEXICO | | | |
| ARMY: WHITE SANDS MISSILE RANGE: PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT CENTER | | 7,600 | + 7,600 |
| AIR FORCE: | | | |
| CANNON AFB: REPLACE FIRE/CRASH RESCUE STATION | 9,400 | 9,400 | |
| KIRTLAND AFB: TELESCOPE/ATMOSPHERE COMPENSATION LABORATORY | 15,500 | 15,500 | |
| DEFENSE-WIDE: HOLLOMAN AFB: MEDICAL CLINIC ALTERATION | 5,700 | 5,700 | |
| TOTAL, NEW MEXICO | 30,600 | 38,200 | + 7,600 |
| NEW YORK | | | |
| ARMY: | | | |
| FORT DRUM: | | | |
| BATTLE SIMULATION CENTER (PHASE II) | 9,000 | 9,000 | |
| FIELD OPERATIONS FACILITY | 2,150 | 2,150 | |
| HAZARDOUS MATERIALS STORAGE FACILITY | 4,700 | 4,700 | |
| TACTICAL EQUIPMENT SHOPS | 31,000 | 31,000 | |
| U.S. MILITARY ACADEMY: CADET PHYSICAL DEVELOPMENT CENTER (PHASE II) | 37,900 | 37,900 | |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: FORT DRUM: MANEUVER AREA TRAINING EQUIPMENT SITE (PHASE I) | 17,000 | 17,000 | |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD: | | | |
| FRANCIS S. GABRESKI AIRPORT: COMPOSITE SUPPORT COMPLEX | 19,000 | 19,000 | |
| NIAGARA FALLS INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT: FUEL CELL/CORROSION HANGAR ADDITION | | 2,800 | + 2,800 |
| TOTAL, NEW YORK | 120,750 | 123,550 | + 2,800 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | | | |
| ARMY: | | | |
| FORT BRAGG: | | | |
| BARRACKS COMPLEX (BUTNER ROAD) (PHASE II) | 49,000 | 49,000 | |
| BARRACKS COMPLEX (LONGSTREET ROAD) (PHASE II) | 27,000 | 27,000 | |
| BARRACKS COMPLEX (TAGAYTAY ROAD) (PHASE II-C) | 17,500 | 17,500 | |
| PARACHUTE TEAM GENERAL PURPOSE BUILDING | 7,700 | 7,700 | |
| VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY | 13,600 | 13,600 | |
| SUNNY POINT MILITARY OCEAN TERMINAL: | | | |
| DEPLOYMENT STAGING AREA | 2,000 | 2,000 | |
| FIRE STATION | 2,750 | 2,750 | |

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

| Installation and project | Budget request | Committee recommendation | Change from budget estimate |
|--|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| OPEN STORAGE AREA | 2,050 | 2,050 | |
| ROAD IMPROVEMENTS AND TRUCK PAD | 4,600 | 4,600 | |
| NAVY: | | | |
| CAMP LEJEUNE MARINE CORPS BASE: | | | |
| ACADEMIC BUILDING | 15,860 | 15,860 | |
| AMMUNITION STORAGE MAGAZINE UPGRADE (PHASE I) | 5,880 | 5,880 | |
| BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS | 16,530 | 16,530 | |
| BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS | 13,550 | 13,550 | |
| ENGINEERING EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE SHOP | 6,960 | 6,960 | |
| LANDFILL CELL | 8,290 | 8,290 | |
| NEW RIVER MARINE CORPS AIR STATION: | | | |
| PROPERTY CONTROL FACILITY | 1,560 | 1,560 | |
| PROPERTY CONTROL FACILITY | 2,490 | 2,490 | |
| AIR FORCE: POPE AFB: CONSOLIDATE C-130 CORROSION CONTROL FACILITY | 17,800 | 17,800 | |
| DEFENSE-WIDE: | | | |
| CAMP LEJEUNE MARINE CORPS BASE: ELEMENTARY SCHOOL ADDITION AND RENOVATION | 8,857 | 8,857 | |
| FORT BRAGG: | | | |
| IMAGERY AND ANALYSIS FACILITY | 3,150 | 3,150 | |
| LANGUAGE SUSTAINMENT TRAINING FACILITY | 2,100 | 2,100 | |
| REPAIR TRAINING FACILITY | 1,812 | 1,812 | |
| TEAM OPERATIONS/INFORMATION AUTOMATION FACILITY | 5,800 | 5,800 | |
| TRAINING FACILITY | 5,000 | 5,000 | |
| TRAINING RANGE | 2,600 | 2,600 | |
| VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX | 3,600 | 3,600 | |
| WEATHER OPERATIONS FACILITY | 1,000 | 1,000 | |
| BATTALION OPERATIONS/VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY | 8,500 | 8,500 | |
| POPE AFB: BULK FUEL STORAGE TANK | 3,400 | 3,400 | |
| TOTAL, NORTH CAROLINA | 260,939 | 260,939 | |
| NORTH DAKOTA | | | |
| AIR FORCE: GRAND FORKS AFB: KC-135 SQUADRON OPERATIONS/AIRCRAFT MAINT UNIT | 7,800 | 7,800 | |
| DEFENSE-WIDE: | | | |
| GRAND FORKS AFB: HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM | 9,110 | 9,110 | |
| MINOT AFB: HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM | 14,000 | 14,000 | |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD: FARGO/HECTOR: WEAPONS RELEASE SYSTEMS SHOP | | 5,000 | + 5,000 |
| TOTAL, NORTH DAKOTA | 30,910 | 35,910 | + 5,000 |
| OHIO | | | |
| AIR FORCE: | | | |
| WRIGHT-PATTERSON AFB: | | | |
| ADD/ALTER SPECIAL OPERATIONS INTELLIGENCE FACILITY | 3,450 | 3,450 | |
| CONSOLIDATE ACQ MANAGEMENT COMPLEX (PHASE IV-B) | 21,400 | 21,400 | |
| SECURITY GATE, BASE ENTRANCE | | 3,400 | + 3,400 |
| CINCINNATI: READINESS CENTER | 9,780 | 9,780 | |
| ARMY RESERVE: CLEVELAND: LAND ACQUISITION | 1,200 | 1,200 | |
| TOTAL, OHIO | 35,830 | 39,230 | + 3,400 |
| OKLAHOMA | | | |
| ARMY: FORT SILL: DEPLOYMENT STAGING COMPLEX | 5,100 | 5,100 | |
| AIR FORCE: | | | |
| ALTUS AFB: | | | |
| REPAIR AIRFIELD PAVEMENTS (PHASE I) | 20,200 | 20,200 | |
| DORMITORY | 10,200 | 10,200 | |
| DEPOT PLATING SHOP | | 11,200 | + 11,200 |

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

| Installation and project | Budget request | Committee recommendation | Change from budget estimate |
|---|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| VANCE AFB: ROAD REPAIR (ELAM ROAD) | | 4,809 | + 4,809 |
| TOTAL, OKLAHOMA | 35,500 | 51,509 | + 16,009 |
| OREGON | | | |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: EUGENE: JOINT ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER (PH I) | | 8,300 | + 8,300 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | | | |
| DEFENSE-WIDE: | | | |
| NEW CUMBERLAND DEFENSE DISTRIBUTION DEPOT: SPECIAL PURPOSE WAREHOUSE | 19,900 | 19,900 | |
| PHILADELPHIA DEFENSE SUPPLY CENTER: CONSOLIDATE INDOOR FITNESS FACILITIES | 2,429 | 2,429 | |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD: | | | |
| PITTSBURGH IAP: | | | |
| REPLACE VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX | 3,200 | 3,200 | |
| SQUADRON OPERATIONS AND SUPPORT FACILITY (171ST AIR REFUELING SQDN) | | 7,700 | + 7,700 |
| NAVY RESERVE: WILLOW GROVE: HANGAR FIRE PROTECTION UPGRADES | 3,715 | 3,715 | |
| TOTAL, PENNSYLVANIA | 29,244 | 36,944 | + 7,700 |
| RHODE ISLAND | | | |
| NAVY: | | | |
| NEWPORT NAVAL STATION: | | | |
| SPECIAL WARFARE OFFICERS INSTRUCTION BUILDING | 15,290 | 15,290 | |
| LAB-UNMANNED UNDERSEA COMBAT VEHICLE | | 9,370 | + 9,370 |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD: QUONSET STATE AIRPORT: C-130J REPLACE COMPOSITE MAINTENANCE SHOPS | 9,600 | 9,600 | |
| TOTAL, RHODE ISLAND | 24,890 | 34,260 | + 9,370 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | | | |
| ARMY: FORT JACKSON: BASIC COMBAT TRAINEE COMPLEX (PHASE I) | 26,000 | 26,000 | |
| NAVY: | | | |
| BEAUFORT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION: | | | |
| AIRBORNE WEAPONS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT WAREHOUSE | 1,960 | 1,960 | |
| CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER | 6,060 | 6,060 | |
| PARRIS ISLAND MARINE CORPS RECRUIT DEPOT: MILITARY POLICE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES FACILITY | 5,430 | 5,430 | |
| AIR FORCE: SHAW AFB: EDUCATION CENTER | | 5,800 | + 5,800 |
| DEFENSE-WIDE: BEAUFORT MARINE CORPS AIR STATION: REPLACE LAUREL BAY ELEMENTARY SCHOOL | 12,850 | 12,850 | |
| TOTAL, SOUTH CAROLINA | 52,300 | 58,100 | + 5,800 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | | | |
| AIR FORCE: ELLSWORTH AFB: LIVE ORDNANCE LOADING AREA | | 12,200 | + 12,200 |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: | | | |
| MITCHELL: COMBINED SUPPORT MAINTENANCE SHOP | 14,228 | 14,228 | |
| JOE FOSS FIELD/SOUIX CITY: RUNWAY/TAXIWAY IMPROVEMENT | | 6,500 | + 6,500 |
| TOTAL, SOUTH DAKOTA | 14,228 | 32,928 | + 18,700 |
| TENNESSEE | | | |
| NAVY: MILLINGTON NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY: ELEVATED WATER TANK | 3,900 | 3,900 | |
| AIR FORCE: | | | |
| ARNOLD AFB: | | | |
| CONVERT TO HYPERSONIC PLANT | 10,400 | 10,400 | |
| UPGRADE JET ENGINE AIR INDUCTION SYSTEM (PHASE IV) | 14,000 | 14,000 | |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: | | | |
| ALCOA: READINESS CENTER | 8,203 | 8,203 | |

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

| Installation and project | Budget request | Committee recommendation | Change from budget estimate |
|--|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| HENDERSON: OPERATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP | 2,012 | 2,012 | |
| TOTAL, TENNESSEE | 38,515 | 38,515 | |
| TEXAS | | | |
| ARMY: | | | |
| FORT HOOD: | | | |
| BARRACKS COMPLEX | 41,000 | 41,000 | |
| COMMAND AND CONTROL FACILITY (PHASE II) | 10,000 | 10,000 | |
| MULTI-PURPOSE DIGITAL TRAINING RANGE (PHASE II) | 13,000 | 13,000 | |
| VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY | 12,200 | 12,200 | |
| VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY | 23,000 | 23,000 | |
| FORT SAM HOUSTON: GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING | 2,250 | 2,250 | |
| NAVY: KINGSVILLE NAS: AIRFIELD LIGHTING | | 6,160 | + 6,160 |
| AIR FORCE: | | | |
| LACKLAND AFB: | | | |
| JOINT ADVANCED LANGUAGE TRAINING CENTER | 4,200 | 4,200 | |
| DORMITORY | 8,600 | 8,600 | |
| LAUGHLIN AFB: ADD/ALTER FITNESS CENTER | 12,000 | 12,000 | |
| SHEPPARD AFB: | | | |
| REPLACE STUDENT DORMITORY/DINING FACILITY | 16,000 | 16,000 | |
| STUDENT DORMITORY/DINING FACILITY | 21,000 | 21,000 | |
| DYESS AFB: C-130 SQUADRON OPERATIONS FACILITY | | 16,800 | + 16,800 |
| DEFENSE-WIDE: | | | |
| DYESS AFB: MEDICAL TREATMENT FACILITY ALTERATION | 3,300 | 3,300 | |
| FORT HOOD: ADD/ALTER HOSPITAL | 12,200 | 12,200 | |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: AUSTIN: ARMY AVIATION SUPPORT FACILITY | 25,659 | 25,659 | |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP MABRY: REPLACE WEATHER FLIGHT COMPLEX | 900 | 900 | |
| ARMY RESERVE: RED RIVER ARMY DEPOT: RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP | 1,862 | 1,862 | |
| TOTAL, TEXAS | 207,171 | 230,131 | + 22,960 |
| UTAH | | | |
| AIR FORCE: | | | |
| HILL AFB: | | | |
| CONSOLIDATE HYDRAULIC/PNEUDRAULIC REPAIR FACILITY | 14,000 | 14,000 | |
| DEPOT MAINTENANCE HANGAR (PHASE I) | | 8,000 | + 8,000 |
| TOTAL, UTAH | 14,000 | 22,000 | + 8,000 |
| VIRGINIA | | | |
| ARMY: | | | |
| FORT BELVOIR: | | | |
| CHAPEL | 4,950 | 4,950 | |
| OPERATIONS BUILDING | 31,000 | 31,000 | |
| FORT EUSTIS: | | | |
| FIELD OPERATIONS FACILITY | 1,750 | 1,750 | |
| MAIN PIER | 23,000 | 23,000 | |
| ACCESS ROAD | | 9,900 | + 9,900 |
| FORT LEE: | | | |
| AIRBORNE TRAINING FACILITY | 17,500 | 17,500 | |
| MILITARY ENTRANCE PROCESSING STATION | 6,400 | 6,400 | |
| NAVY: | | | |
| NORFOLK NAVAL STATION: | | | |
| AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR REPLACEMENT | 11,300 | 11,300 | |
| AIRCRAFT MAINTENANCE HANGAR REPLACEMENT | 14,100 | 14,100 | |
| AIRFIELD PAVEMENT UPGRADE | 6,360 | 6,360 | |
| BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS MODERNIZATION | 14,730 | 14,730 | |
| DEPERMING PIER REPLACEMENT | 2,810 | 2,810 | |
| PIER REPLACEMENT (PHASE I) | 28,210 | 28,210 | |

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

| Installation and project | Budget request | Committee recommendation | Change from budget estimate |
|--|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| WATERFRONT ELECTRICAL UPGRADE | 15,620 | 15,620 | |
| WATERFRONT ELECTRICAL UPGRADE | 12,900 | 12,900 | |
| QUANTICO MARINE CORPS COMBAT DEVELOPMENT COMMAND: | | | |
| AIRCRAFT FIRE AND RESCUE STATION | 3,790 | 3,790 | |
| BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS | 9,390 | 9,390 | |
| AIR FORCE: | | | |
| LANGLEY AFB: | | | |
| DORMITORY | 8,300 | 8,300 | |
| F-22 LOW OBSERVABLE/COMPOSITE REPAIR FACILITY | 16,000 | 16,000 | |
| F-22 OPERATIONS AND MAINTENANCE FACILITY | 19,000 | 19,000 | |
| F-22 UPGRADE FLIGHTLINE INFRASTRUCTURE | 4,000 | 4,000 | |
| DEFENSE-WIDE: | | | |
| FORT BELVOIR: ADDITIONAL CHILLER UNIT | 900 | 900 | |
| NORFOLK: ADD/ALTER BRANCH MEDICAL CLINIC | 21,000 | 21,000 | |
| PENTAGON: PHYSICAL FITNESS AND READINESS FACILITY | 25,000 | 25,000 | |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: FORT PICKETT: MANEUVER AND EQUIPMENT TRAINING SITE | | 10,700 | + 10,700 |
| NAVY RESERVE: WILLIAMSBURG: HEADQUARTERS BUILDING | 2,130 | 2,130 | |
| TOTAL, VIRGINIA | 300,140 | 320,740 | + 20,600 |
| VERMONT | | | |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD: BURLINGTON: VEHICLE MAINTENANCE COMPLEX | | 5,600 | + 5,600 |
| WASHINGTON | | | |
| ARMY: | | | |
| FORT LEWIS: | | | |
| AMMUNITION SUPPLY POINT EXPANSION | 17,000 | 17,000 | |
| BARRACKS COMPLEX (17TH AND B STREET) (PHASE I) | 48,000 | 48,000 | |
| COMBAT VEHICLE TRAIL | 7,300 | 7,300 | |
| DEPLOYMENT STAGING COMPLEX | 15,500 | 15,500 | |
| DEPLOYMENT STAGING COMPLEX/RAIL | 16,500 | 16,500 | |
| PALLET HANDING FACILITY | 13,200 | 13,200 | |
| VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY | 9,100 | 9,100 | |
| VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY | 9,600 | 9,600 | |
| NAVY: | | | |
| BANGOR STRATEGIC WEAPONS FACILITY: UTILITIES AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS | 3,900 | 3,900 | |
| BREMERTON NAVAL STATION: REPLACE PIER DELTA (PHASE II) | 24,460 | 24,460 | |
| EVERETT NAVAL STATION: SHORE INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE FACILITY | 6,820 | 6,820 | |
| WHIDBEY ISLAND NAVAL AIR STATION: | | | |
| P-3 SUPPORT FACILITY | 3,470 | 3,470 | |
| CONTROL TOWER | | 3,900 | + 3,900 |
| AIR FORCE: | | | |
| FAIRCHILD AFB: REPLACE MUNITIONS MAINTENANCE ADMIN FACILITY | 2,800 | 2,800 | |
| MCCHORD AFB: | | | |
| ADD/ALTER MISSION SUPPORT CENTER (PHASE I) | 15,800 | 15,800 | |
| C-17 EXTEND NOSE DOCKS | 4,900 | 4,900 | |
| DEFENSE-WIDE: | | | |
| FORT LEWIS: | | | |
| LANGUAGE SUSTAINMENT TRAINING FACILITY | 1,100 | 1,100 | |
| TACTICAL EQUIPMENT COMPLEX | 5,800 | 5,800 | |
| WHIDBEY ISLAND NAVAL AIR STATION: AIRCREW WATER SURVIVAL TRAINING FACILITY | 6,600 | 6,600 | |
| ARMY RESERVE: FORT LEWIS: RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP | 21,978 | 21,978 | |
| TOTAL, WASHINGTON | 233,828 | 237,728 | + 3,900 |

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

| Installation and project | Budget request | Committee recommendation | Change from budget estimate |
|---|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| WEST VIRGINIA | | | |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: WILLIAMSTOWN: READINESS CENTER | | 6,433 | + 6,433 |
| GLEN JEAN: | | | |
| ARMED FORCES RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATION MAINTENANCE SHOP | | 21,389 | + 21,389 |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD: YEAGER AIR BASE: CIVIL ENGINEER/WEAPONS COMPLEX | | 4,100 | + 4,100 |
| TOTAL, WEST VIRGINIA | | 31,922 | + 31,922 |
| WISCONSIN | | | |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: OSHKOSH: ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP .. | 5,274 | 5,274 | |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD: CAMP DOUGLAS/VOLK FIELD: CONTROL TOWER | | 5,700 | + 5,700 |
| TOTAL, WISCONSIN | 5,274 | 10,974 | + 5,700 |
| WYOMING | | | |
| AIR FORCE: F.E. WARREN AFB: FITNESS CENTER | 10,200 | 10,200 | |
| DEFENSE-WIDE: F. E. WARREN AFB: MEDICAL CLINIC ALTERATION | 2,700 | 2,700 | |
| NAVY RESERVE: CHEYENNE: RESERVE CENTER ADDITION | 1,060 | 1,060 | |
| TOTAL, WYOMING | 13,960 | 13,960 | |
| EL SALVADOR | | | |
| DEFENSE-WIDE: COMALAPA AB: FORWARD OPERATING LOCATION | 12,577 | 12,577 | |
| GERMANY | | | |
| ARMY: | | | |
| BAMBERG: | | | |
| BARRACKS COMPLEX (WARNER'S 3) | 20,000 | 20,000 | |
| PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER | 16,000 | 16,000 | |
| BAUMHOLDER: VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY | 9,000 | 9,000 | |
| DARMSTADT: | | | |
| BARRACKS COMPLEX (CAMBRAI FRITSCH 4028) | 6,700 | 6,700 | |
| BARRACKS COMPLEX (KELLEY 4163) | 6,800 | 6,800 | |
| HANAU: BARRACKS COMPLEX (PIONEER 8) | 7,200 | 7,200 | |
| HEIDELBERG: | | | |
| BARRACKS COMPLEX (PATTON 114) | 6,800 | 6,800 | |
| BARRACKS COMPLEX (TOMPKINS 4253) | 8,500 | 8,500 | |
| MANNHEIM: VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY | 16,000 | 16,000 | |
| WEISBADEN: | | | |
| CHILD DEVELOPMENT CENTER | 6,800 | 6,800 | |
| PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER | 19,500 | 19,500 | |
| AIR FORCE: | | | |
| RAMSTEIN AB: | | | |
| COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS SQUADRON COMPLEX (PHASE I) | 15,000 | 15,000 | |
| DORMITORY | 11,000 | 11,000 | |
| FREIGHT TERMINAL AND DEFENSE COURIER SERVICE | 9,400 | 9,400 | |
| STRATEGIC LIFT AREA EXPANSION | 4,600 | 4,600 | |
| UPGRADE UTILITY INFRASTRUCTURE | 2,900 | 2,900 | |
| SPANGDAHLEM AB: | | | |
| NORTHWEST INFRASTRUCTURE EXPANSION | 6,200 | 6,200 | |
| REFUELER VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY | 2,500 | 2,500 | |
| DEFENSE-WIDE: | | | |
| GEILENKIRCHEN: ELEMENTARY SCHOOL MULTI PURPOSE ROOM | 1,733 | 1,733 | |
| HEIDELBERG: | | | |
| HOSPITAL ADDITION/CLINIC ALTERATION | 28,000 | 28,000 | |
| ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION/RENOVATION | 3,312 | 3,312 | |
| KAISERLAUTERN: ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION | 1,439 | 1,439 | |
| KITZINGEN: ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION | 1,394 | 1,394 | |
| LANDSTUHL: ELEMENTARY SCHOOL/MIDDLE SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION | 1,444 | 1,444 | |

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

| Installation and project | Budget request | Committee recommendation | Change from budget estimate |
|--|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| RAMSTEIN: HIGH SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION | 2,814 | 2,814 | |
| VOGELWEH: ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION/RENOVATION | 1,558 | 1,558 | |
| WEISBADEN: ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION | 1,378 | 1,378 | |
| WUERZBURG: ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM/GYMNASIUM ADDITION | 2,684 | 2,684 | |
| TOTAL, GERMANY | 220,656 | 220,656 | |
| GREECE | | | |
| NAVY: | | | |
| LARISSA NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY: BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS .. | 12,240 | 12,240 | |
| SOUDA BAY NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY: SEWAGE TREATMENT PLANT ADDITION | 3,210 | 3,210 | |
| TOTAL, GREECE | 15,450 | 15,450 | |
| GREENLAND | | | |
| AIR FORCE: THULE AB: REPLACE TAXIWAYS/APRONS | 19,000 | | — 19,000 |
| DEFENSE-WIDE: THULE AB: COMPOSITE MEDICAL FACILITY REPLACEMENT ... | 10,800 | 10,800 | |
| TOTAL, GREENLAND | 29,800 | 10,800 | — 19,000 |
| GUAM | | | |
| NAVY: | | | |
| GUAM NAVAL SUPPORT ACTIVITY: | | | |
| BACHELOR ENLISTED QUARTERS MODERNIZATION | 9,300 | 9,300 | |
| WATERFRONT UTILITIES UPGRADE | 14,800 | 14,800 | |
| AIR FORCE: | | | |
| ANDERSEN AFB: | | | |
| WAR RESERVE MATERIAL STORAGE FACILITY | 4,550 | 4,550 | |
| REPLACE SECURITY FORCES OPERATIONS FACILITY | 5,600 | 5,600 | |
| DEFENSE-WIDE: ANDERSEN AFB: REPLACE HYDRANT FUEL SYSTEM | 20,000 | 20,000 | |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD: ANDERSON AFB: OPERATIONS AND TRAINING FACILITY | 4,300 | 4,300 | |
| TOTAL, GUAM | 58,550 | 58,550 | |
| ICELAND | | | |
| NAVY: KEFLAVIK NAVAL AIR STATION: SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL CONNECTION CHARGE | 2,820 | 2,820 | |
| ITALY | | | |
| NAVY: SIGONELLA NAVAL AIR STATION: P-3 SUPPORT FACILITY | 3,060 | 3,060 | |
| AIR FORCE: | | | |
| AVIANO AB: | | | |
| DORMITORY | 8,200 | 8,200 | |
| INDOOR FIRING RANGE | 3,600 | 3,600 | |
| DEFENSE-WIDE: AVIANO AB: ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSROOM ADDITION ... | 3,647 | 3,647 | |
| TOTAL, ITALY | 18,507 | 18,507 | |
| JAPAN | | | |
| DEFENSE-WIDE: YOKOTA AB: BULK FUEL STORAGE TANK | 13,000 | 13,000 | |
| KOREA | | | |
| ARMY: | | | |
| CAMP CARROLL: | | | |
| ELECTRICAL DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM | 8,000 | 8,000 | |
| PHYSICAL FITNESS TRAINING CENTER | 8,593 | 8,593 | |
| CAMP CASEY: VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY | 8,500 | 8,500 | |
| CAMP HOVEY: | | | |
| BARRACKS COMPLEX | 33,000 | 33,000 | |

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

| Installation and project | Budget request | Committee recommendation | Change from budget estimate |
|--|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| SANITARY SEWER SYSTEM | 2,750 | 2,750 | |
| CAMP HUMPHREYS: BARRACKS COMPLEX | 14,500 | 14,500 | |
| CAMP JACKSON: GENERAL INSTRUCTION BUILDING | 6,100 | 6,100 | |
| CAMP STANLEY: BARRACKS COMPLEX | 28,000 | 28,000 | |
| AIR FORCE: | | | |
| KUNSAN AB: ADD/ALTER FITNESS CENTER | 12,000 | 12,000 | |
| OSAN AB: | | | |
| DORMITORY | 14,400 | 14,400 | |
| DORMITORY | 15,800 | 15,800 | |
| OFFICER DORMITORY | 9,700 | 9,700 | |
| REPLACE BASE CIVIL ENGINEER COMPLEX | 36,000 | | — 36,000 |
| REPLACE TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT FACILITY | 5,925 | 5,925 | |
| REPLACE VEHICLE OPERATIONS/ADMINISTRATION FACILITY | 2,000 | 2,000 | |
| VEHICLE MAINTENANCE FACILITY | 17,317 | 17,317 | |
| DEFENSE-WIDE: CAMP CASEY: REPLACE FUEL STORAGE FACILITY | 5,500 | 5,500 | |
| TOTAL, KOREA | 228,085 | 192,085 | — 36,000 |
| KWAJALEIN | | | |
| ARMY: KWAJALEIN ATOLL: COLD STORAGE WAREHOUSE | 11,000 | 11,000 | |
| OMAN | | | |
| AIR FORCE: MASIRAH ISLAND: AIRFIELD REPAIRS (PHASE II) | | 8,000 | + 8,000 |
| PORTUGAL | | | |
| DEFENSE-WIDE: LAJES FIELD: DENTAL CLINIC REPLACEMENT | 3,750 | 3,750 | |
| AMERICAN SAMOA | | | |
| ARMY RESERVE: AMERICAN SAMOA: RESERVE CENTER/ORGANIZATIONAL MAINTENANCE SHOP | 19,703 | 19,703 | |
| SPAIN | | | |
| NAVY: ROTA NAVAL STATION: AIRCRAFT FIRE AND RESCUE ADDITION | 2,240 | 2,240 | |
| DEFENSE-WIDE: ROTA NAVAL STATION: MARINE LOADING ARMS | 3,000 | 3,000 | |
| TOTAL, SPAIN | 5,240 | 5,240 | |
| TURKEY | | | |
| AIR FORCE: ESKISEHIR: DORMITORY/MISSION SUPPORT FACILITY | 4,000 | 4,000 | |
| UNITED KINGDOM | | | |
| AIR FORCE: | | | |
| RAF MILDENHALL: | | | |
| AVIONICS MAINTENANCE COMPLEX (PHASE II) | 10,800 | 10,800 | |
| FITNESS CENTER | 11,600 | 11,600 | |
| RAF LAKENHEATH: REPLACE SUPPLY MATERIAL CONTROL FACILITY | 11,300 | 11,300 | |
| DEFENSE-WIDE: RAF FELTWELL: CONSTRUCT NEW MIDDLE SCHOOL | 22,132 | 22,132 | |
| TOTAL, UNITED KINGDOM | 55,832 | 55,832 | |
| WAKE ISLAND | | | |
| AIR FORCE: WAKE ISLAND: REPAIR AIRFIELD PAVEMENT (PHASE I) | 25,000 | | — 25,000 |
| NATO | | | |
| NATO SECURITY INVESTMENT PROGRAM | 162,600 | 162,600 | |
| WORLDWIDE CLASSIFIED | | | |
| ARMY: | | | |
| CLASSIFIED LOCATIONS: | | | |
| CLASSIFIED PROJECT | 4,000 | 4,000 | |
| RESCISSION CLASSIFIED PROJECT | | — 26,400 | — 26,400 |
| AIR FORCE: CLASSIFIED LOCATION: TACTICAL UNIT DETACHMENT FACILITY .. | 4,458 | 4,458 | |

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

| Installation and project | Budget request | Committee recommendation | Change from budget estimate |
|--|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| DEFENSE-WIDE: CLASSIFIED LOCATION: AVIATION AND MAINTENANCE FACILITY | 2,400 | 2,400 | |
| TOTAL, WORLDWIDE CLASSIFIED | 10,858 | — 15,542 | — 26,400 |
| WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED | | | |
| ARMY: | | | |
| UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS: | | | |
| HOST NATION SUPPORT | 23,100 | 23,100 | |
| PLANNING AND DESIGN | 134,098 | 153,084 | + 18,986 |
| UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION | 18,000 | 21,130 | + 3,130 |
| NAVY: | | | |
| UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS: | | | |
| PLANNING AND DESIGN | 29,932 | 37,332 | + 7,400 |
| UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION | 10,546 | 13,321 | + 2,775 |
| RESCISSION | | — 19,588 | — 19,588 |
| AIR FORCE: | | | |
| UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS: | | | |
| PLANNING AND DESIGN | 79,130 | 83,420 | + 4,290 |
| UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION | 11,250 | 12,250 | + 1,000 |
| RESCISSION | | — 4,000 | — 4,000 |
| DEFENSE-WIDE: | | | |
| UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS: | | | |
| ENERGY CONSERVATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM | 35,600 | 35,600 | |
| CONTINGENCY CONSTRUCTION | 10,000 | 10,000 | |
| RESCISSION | | — 65,280 | — 65,280 |
| RESCISSION | | — 4,000 | — 4,000 |
| PLANNING AND DESIGN: | | | |
| TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY | 26,300 | 30,300 | + 4,000 |
| SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND | 6,861 | 6,861 | |
| CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM | 700 | 700 | |
| DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY | 2,400 | 2,400 | |
| DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DEPENDENT EDUCATION | 1,929 | 1,929 | |
| BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION | 6,290 | 6,290 | |
| DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AGENCY | 6,516 | 16,516 | + 10,000 |
| DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY | 3,500 | 3,500 | |
| UNDISTRIBUTED | 20,000 | 20,000 | |
| SUBTOTAL, PLANNING AND DESIGN | 74,496 | 88,496 | + 14,000 |
| UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION: | | | |
| TRICARE MANAGEMENT ACTIVITY | 5,526 | 5,526 | |
| SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND | 1,903 | 1,903 | |
| DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE | 1,500 | 1,500 | |
| DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE DEPENDENT EDUCATION | 4,249 | 4,249 | |
| BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION | 2,009 | 2,009 | |
| JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF | 6,305 | 6,305 | |
| UNDISTRIBUTED | 3,000 | 3,000 | |
| SUBTOTAL, UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION | 24,492 | 24,492 | |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD: | | | |
| UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS: | | | |
| PLANNING AND DESIGN | 25,794 | 34,378 | + 8,584 |
| UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION | 4,671 | 22,381 | + 17,710 |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD: | | | |
| UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS: | | | |
| PLANNING AND DESIGN | 3,972 | 7,342 | + 3,370 |
| UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION | 5,000 | 8,425 | + 3,425 |
| ARMY RESERVE: | | | |
| UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS: | | | |
| PLANNING AND DESIGN | 8,024 | 8,024 | |
| UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION | 2,375 | 2,375 | |

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

| Installation and project | Budget request | Committee recommendation | Change from budget estimate |
|---|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| NAVY RESERVE: | | | |
| UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS: | | | |
| PLANNING AND DESIGN | 1,176 | 1,176 | |
| RESCISSION | | — 925 | — 925 |
| AIR FORCE RESERVE: | | | |
| UNSPECIFIED WORLDWIDE LOCATIONS: | | | |
| PLANNING AND DESIGN | 4,336 | 4,336 | |
| UNSPECIFIED MINOR CONSTRUCTION | 4,996 | 4,996 | |
| TOTAL, WORLDWIDE UNSPECIFIED | 510,988 | 501,865 | — 9,123 |
| FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY | | | |
| ALASKA: FORT WAINWRIGHT (32 UNITS) | 12,000 | 12,000 | |
| ARIZONA: FORT HUACHUCA (72 UNITS) | 10,800 | 10,800 | |
| KANSAS: FORT LEAVENWORTH (80 UNITS) | 10,000 | 20,000 | + 10,000 |
| TEXAS: | | | |
| FORT BLISS (76 UNITS) | 13,600 | 13,600 | |
| FORT SAM HOUSTON (80) | | 11,200 | + 11,200 |
| KOREA: CAMP HUMPHREYS (54 UNITS) | 12,800 | 12,800 | |
| CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS | 220,750 | 220,750 | |
| PLANNING AND DESIGN | 11,592 | 11,592 | |
| SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION | 291,542 | 312,742 | + 21,200 |
| OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE: | | | |
| FURNISHING ACCOUNT | 45,546 | 45,546 | |
| MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT | 82,177 | 82,177 | |
| MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT | 1,277 | 1,277 | |
| SERVICES ACCOUNT | 49,520 | 49,520 | |
| UTILITIES ACCOUNT | 258,790 | 258,790 | |
| LEASING | 196,956 | 196,956 | |
| MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY | 446,806 | 446,806 | |
| INTEREST PAYMENT | 1 | 1 | |
| HOUSING PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COST | 27,918 | 27,918 | |
| FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATION ADJUSTMENT | | | |
| SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE | 1,108,991 | 1,108,991 | |
| TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY | 1,400,533 | 1,421,733 | + 21,200 |
| FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS | | | |
| ARIZONA: YUMA (51 UNITS) | 9,017 | 9,017 | |
| CALIFORNIA: TWENTYNINE PALMS (74 UNITS) | 16,250 | 16,250 | |
| HAWAII: | | | |
| KANEHOE BAY (212 UNITS) | 46,996 | 55,196 | + 8,200 |
| PEARL HARBOR (70 UNITS) | 16,827 | 16,827 | |
| MISSISSIPPI: PASCAGOULA (160 UNITS) | 23,354 | 23,354 | |
| ITALY: SIGONELLA (10 UNITS) | 2,403 | 2,403 | |
| CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS | 183,054 | 183,054 | |
| PLANNING AND DESIGN | 6,499 | 6,499 | |
| SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION | 304,400 | 312,600 | + 8,200 |
| OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE: | | | |
| FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT | 32,701 | 32,701 | |
| MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT | 85,535 | 85,535 | |
| MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT | 1,200 | 1,200 | |
| SERVICES ACCOUNT | 65,787 | 65,787 | |
| UTILITIES ACCOUNT | 195,172 | 195,172 | |
| LEASING ACCOUNT | 123,965 | 123,965 | |
| MAINTENANCE ACCOUNT | 409,567 | 409,567 | |
| SVCN'S MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUM ACCOUNT | 68 | | |
| HOUSING PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COST | 4,100 | 4,100 | |

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

| Installation and project | Budget request | Committee recommendation | Change from budget estimate |
|---|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATION ADJUSTMENT | | | |
| SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE | 918,095 | 918,095 | |
| TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS | 1,222,495 | 1,230,695 | + 8,200 |
| FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE | | | |
| ARIZONA: LUKE AFB (120 UNITS) | 15,712 | 15,712 | |
| CALIFORNIA: TRAVIS AFB (118 UNITS) | 18,150 | 18,150 | |
| COLORADO: BUCKLEY AFB (55 UNITS) | 11,400 | 11,400 | |
| DELAWARE: DOVER AFB (120 UNITS) | 18,145 | 18,145 | |
| DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA: BOLLING AFB (136 UNITS) | 16,926 | 16,926 | |
| HAWAII: HICKAM AFB (102 UNITS) | 25,037 | 25,037 | |
| IDAHO: MOUNTAIN HOME AFB (56 UNITS) | | 10,000 | + 10,000 |
| LOUISIANA: BARKSDALE AFB (56 UNITS) | 7,300 | 7,300 | |
| SOUTH DAKOTA: ELLSWORTH AFB (78 UNITS) | 13,700 | 13,700 | |
| VIRGINIA: LANGLEY AFB (4 UNITS) | 1,200 | 1,200 | |
| PORTUGAL: LAJES FIELD (64 UNITS) | 13,230 | 13,230 | |
| CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS | 352,879 | 375,345 | + 22,466 |
| PLANNING AND DESIGN | 24,558 | 24,558 | |
| SUBTOTAL, CONSTRUCTION | 518,237 | 550,703 | + 32,466 |
| OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE: | | | |
| FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT | 36,619 | 36,619 | |
| MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT | 58,224 | 58,224 | |
| SERVICES ACCOUNT | 28,356 | 28,356 | |
| UTILITIES ACCOUNT | 168,652 | 168,652 | |
| MISCELLANEOUS | 2,384 | 2,384 | |
| LEASING | 102,919 | 102,919 | |
| MAINTENANCE | 436,526 | 436,526 | |
| MORTGAGE INSURANCE PREMIUMS | 35 | 35 | |
| HOUSING PRIVATIZATION SUPPORT COST | 35,406 | 35,406 | |
| FOREIGN CURRENCY FLUCTUATION ADJUSTMENT | | | |
| SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE | 869,121 | 869,121 | |
| TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE | 1,387,358 | 1,419,824 | + 32,466 |
| FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE | | | |
| CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS | 250 | 250 | |
| OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE: | | | |
| FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (NSA) | 129 | 129 | |
| FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DLA) | 3,630 | 3,630 | |
| FURNISHINGS ACCOUNT (DLA) | 30 | 30 | |
| MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (NSA) | 15 | 15 | |
| MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT (DLA) | 292 | 292 | |
| MISCELLANEOUS ACCOUNT (NSA) | 57 | 57 | |
| SERVICES ACCOUNT (NSA) | 374 | 374 | |
| SERVICES ACCOUNT (DLA) | 78 | 78 | |
| UTILITIES ACCOUNT (NSA) | 414 | 414 | |
| UTILITIES ACCOUNT (DLA) | 428 | 428 | |
| LEASING (NSA) | 11,698 | 11,698 | |
| LEASING (DLA) | 25,600 | 25,600 | |
| MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (NSA) | 658 | 658 | |
| MAINTENANCE OF REAL PROPERTY (DLA) | 359 | 359 | |
| SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE | 43,762 | 43,762 | |
| TOTAL, FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE-WIDE | 44,012 | 44,012 | |
| DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND | | | |
| DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND | 2,000 | 2,000 | |

MILITARY CONSTRUCTION PROJECT LISTING BY LOCATION—Continued

[In thousands of dollars]

| Installation and project | Budget request | Committee recommendation | Change from budget estimate |
|---|----------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND, DEFENSE | | | |
| HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND, DEFENSE | 10,119 | 10,119 | |
| BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART IV | | | |
| BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT, PART IV | 532,200 | 682,200 | + 150,000 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 9,971,312 | 10,500,000 | + 528,688 |
| RECAPITULATION | | | |
| ARMY | 1,760,541 | 1,668,957 | — 91,584 |
| RESCISSION | | — 26,400 | — 26,400 |
| NAVY | 1,071,408 | 1,148,633 | + 77,225 |
| RESCISSION | | — 19,588 | — 19,588 |
| AIR FORCE | 1,068,250 | 1,148,269 | + 80,019 |
| RESCISSION (GENERAL PROVISION 126) | | — 4,000 | — 4,000 |
| DEFENSE AGENCIES | 694,558 | 881,058 | + 186,500 |
| RESCISSION | | — 65,280 | — 65,280 |
| RESCISSION (GENERAL PROVISION 126) | | — 4,000 | — 4,000 |
| ARMY NATIONAL GUARD | 267,389 | 378,549 | + 111,160 |
| AIR NATIONAL GUARD | 149,072 | 222,767 | + 73,695 |
| ARMY RESERVE | 111,404 | 111,404 | |
| NAVAL RESERVE | 33,641 | 33,641 | |
| RESCISSION | | — 925 | — 925 |
| AIR FORCE RESERVE | 53,732 | 53,732 | |
| TOTAL MILITARY CONSTRUCTION | 5,209,995 | 5,526,817 | + 316,822 |
| NATO INFRASTRUCTURE | 162,600 | 162,600 | |
| FAMILY HOUSING, ARMY | 1,400,533 | 1,421,733 | + 21,200 |
| (NEW CONSTRUCTION) | (59,200) | (80,400) | (+ 21,200) |
| (CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS) | (220,750) | (220,750) | |
| (PLANNING AND DESIGN) | (11,592) | (11,592) | |
| (OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE) | (1,108,991) | (1,108,991) | |
| FAMILY HOUSING, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS | 1,222,495 | 1,230,695 | + 8,200 |
| (NEW CONSTRUCTION) | (114,847) | (123,047) | (+ 8,200) |
| (CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS) | (183,054) | (183,054) | |
| (PLANNING AND DESIGN) | (6,499) | (6,499) | |
| (OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE) | (918,095) | (918,095) | |
| FAMILY HOUSING, AIR FORCE | 1,387,358 | 1,419,824 | + 32,466 |
| (NEW CONSTRUCTION) | (140,800) | (150,800) | (+ 10,000) |
| (CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS) | (352,879) | (375,345) | (+ 22,466) |
| (PLANNING AND DESIGN) | (24,558) | (24,558) | |
| (OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE) | (869,121) | (869,121) | |
| FAMILY HOUSING, DEFENSE AGENCIES | 44,012 | 44,012 | |
| (CONSTRUCTION IMPROVEMENTS) | (250) | (250) | |
| (OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE) | (43,762) | (43,762) | |
| DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE FAMILY HOUSING IMPROVEMENT FUND | 2,000 | 2,000 | |
| HOMEOWNERS ASSISTANCE FUND | 10,119 | 10,119 | |
| BASE REALIGNMENT AND CLOSURE ACCOUNT | 532,200 | 682,200 | + 150,000 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 9,971,312 | 10,500,000 | + 528,688 |